Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Education Innovation and Philosophical Insights
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

Academic Procrastination among Adolescents from the Perspective of Self-Regulated Learning Theory: Formation Mechanisms and Intervention Strategies

Shiyi Fan

Faculty of Science, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
circle11.sy@gmail.com

Abstract. Academic procrastination is a common academic difficulty that may negatively influence adolescents' academic performance, well-being, or learning development. Though procrastination is often regarded as delayed task completion or poor time management, these behavioural descriptions fail to precisely explain the processes underlying its development. This paper analyses adolescent academic procrastination from the perspective of self-regulated learning (SRL) theory. Drawing on existing theoretical and empirical literature, it analyses the behavioural manifestations of procrastination, potential formation mechanisms, and corresponding intervention strategies. The analysis suggests that adolescent academic procrastination may involve interacting difficulties in motivational and metacognitive regulation, emotional regulation, and social regulation. These difficulties may be reflected in delayed task initiation, competing activities, and last-minute preparation or completion. Based on these mechanisms, interventions involving school-based self-regulation support, family-based autonomy support, and supportive social or digital environments are considered. In sum, adolescent academic procrastination is more appropriately conceptualized as a multidimensional self-regulatory. This perspective highlights the value of mechanism-based interventions that address both adolescents' individual regulatory capacities and the social and educational environments in which regulation develops.

Keywords: Academic Procrastination, Self-Regulated Learning Theory, Formation Mechanisms, Intervention Strategies

1. Introduction

Academic procrastination refers to the unnecessary delay of academic tasks despite awareness of importance and deadlines [1]. Although previous research has focused primarily on university students, procrastination is also obvious during adolescence. In one study, 55% of 214 Turkish high-school students were categorized as frequent academic procrastinators [2]. However, the overall prevalence and developmental characteristics of procrastination in younger populations are still vague [1]. The gap is significant because academic procrastination is associated with poorer academic performance, emotional distress, reduced well-being, and competing digital activities [1].

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

Behavioural delay is a defining feature of procrastination. However, delay fails to explain why students fail to transfer intentions into immediate action. Procrastination may increase when long-term academic goals compete against immediately rewarding alternatives or when aversive tasks induce boredom, frustration, anxiety, or avoidance [3, 4]. Motivational processes, including approach-avoidance tendencies and sensitivity to reward and punishment, may also shape task initiation and persistence [5]. Thus, procrastination ought to be viewed as a problem of regulating goal-directed behaviour.

Self-regulated learning (SRL) provides a conceptual framework for this analysis. SRL involves the active regulation of motivation, metacognition, behaviour, effort, time, and learning resources. Procrastination is associated with lower self-efficacy, stronger orientations of work avoidance, and less adaptive metacognitive strategy use, while recent studies report negative associations with metacognitive regulation, time management, effort regulation, and overall SRL [6-8]. However, evidence comes from university samples, limiting generalisation to adolescents.

This paper discusses about adolescent academic procrastination from an SRL perspective. It analyses behavioural manifestations and potential self-regulatory mechanisms. In addition, this paper provide intervention strategies at the school, family, and social levels. Through integrating theoretical and empirical literature, the paper aims to develop a mechanism-based account of adolescent procrastination and provide more targeted prevention and intervention strategies.

2. Theoretical foundations of self-regulated learning

Self-regulated learning refers to an active process in which students actively manage different aspects of their learning to achieve academic goals [7]. This process includes several interconnected dimensions. Metacognitive regulation includes planning, monitoring, and adjusting learning strategies, while it concerns students' ability to maintain self-efficacy, task engagement, and willingness to persist [6]. Meanwhile, behavioural and resource regulation involves managing study time, effort, and the learning environment [7]. These dimensions ought not to be viewed as isolated skills because effective learning requires students to manage their motivation, strategies, effort, and available resources during the whole learning process. From this perspective, difficulties in one or more self-regulatory processes may interfere with students' ability to initiate, sustain, and complete intended academic activities, providing a theoretical basis for understanding academic procrastination.

Self-regulated learning is commonly conceptualised as a cyclical and recursive process. It consists of three broad phases: forethought, performance, and self-reflection [9]. During the forethought phase, learners analyse the task, establish goals, plan strategies, and activate motivational beliefs such as self-efficacy and interest [10]. During the performance phase, learners implement strategies, monitor their progress, and regulate their behaviour and effort while completing the task [9, 10]. During self-reflection, learners evaluate their performance, make attributions about success or failure, and use this information to adapt future learning [10]. From this perspective, academic procrastination may be examined as a disruption in the cyclical coordination of forethought, task performance, and subsequent reflection, providing a framework for identifying where self-regulatory difficulties may happen.

Importantly, disruption at one phase may influence subsequent phases of the regulatory cycle. For instance, vague goals or inadequate planning during forethought may cause difficulties in using effective strategy and monitoring during performance, while negative self-evaluation after poor performance may influence motivation and planning in the next learning cycle [9, 10]. This cyclical perspective is particularly available for understanding how procrastination may become repeated.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

3. Manifestations and behavioural patterns of adolescent academic procrastination

Academic procrastination enables to appear at different stages of academic task completion, including delayed initiation, disengagement from ongoing work, and delayed preparation or completion [1, 3, 4]. Although specific behavioural evidence for adolescent are still limited, several observable patterns can be identified [1].

One prominent manifestation is the gap between intention and action , in which students intend to complete an academic activity but postpone beginning it [3, 4]. This may involve despite recognising that these tasks need to be completed, students delay homework, examination preparation, or written assignments [1]. Thus, difficulty initiating intended action is an important behavioural feature of procrastination.

Procrastination may also involve shifting from academic work toward competing or irrelevant activities with tasks [3, 4]. Among younger students, reported alternatives to studying includes computer games and mobile-phone or internet use [1]. Such displacement may occur both before task initiation and during sustained work, interrupting progress toward academic goals [4].

A further pattern involves postponing preparatory behaviours, such as reading required reading or preparing for examinations, contributing to greater reliance on last-minute preparation [4]. Delayed completion may also be observable near deadlines. However, late work does not ought to automatically be classified as procrastination because some delays may result from reasonable planning or external circumstances [4].

In sum, these patterns show that academic procrastination can occur across task initiation, sustained engagement, preparation, and completion.

4. Formation mechanisms of adolescent academic procrastination

From an SRL perspective, adolescent academic procrastination is unlikely to arise from a certain deficit. Instead, it may reflect interacting difficulties in motivational and metacognitive regulation, emotional regulation, and the management of social and environmental influences [1, 4, 6, 11].

One pathway involves difficulties in motivation and metacognitive regulation. Lower self-efficacy may reduce students' willingness to initiate or persist with demanding tasks, while weak planning, monitoring, and time-management strategies may interrupt the process of transferring intentions into action [6, 7]. Procrastination has been associated with lower self-efficacy, stronger work-avoidance orientations, and less adaptive metacognitive strategy use [6]. Among junior high school students, procrastination also appears across delays in planning, execution, remediation, and summarisation [11]. Therefore, these processes may interact, with weaker motivation reducing engagement and ineffective planning or monitoring further delaying goal-directed behaviour.

In addition, these motivational and metacognitive difficulties may reinforce one another. For instance, an adolescent face a demanding assignment may doubt their ability to complete it successfully. The lack of confidence of utility reduces willingness to begin. Delayed initiation then leaves less time for effective planning and monitoring, which may further reduce perceived control over the task [6, 7]. Repeated experiences of rushed or incomplete work could subsequently weaken confidence in future academic tasks. Therefore, procrastination may develop through a reciprocal pattern in which low confidence undermines regulation, while ineffective regulation reduces confidence.

Besides, emotional regulation serves as a secondary mechanism. Aversive and difficult tasks may increase negative affect. This influence makes avoidance temporarily attractive. Postponement can provide immediate relief or stress reduction, enhancing avoidance despite longer-term academic

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

costs. This immediate emotional relief can increase the perhaps of repeated avoidance when similar academic demands arise again [4]. However, as deadlines approach, reduced preparation time may increase stress and perceived task difficulty, potentially creating a self-reinforcing cycle. Under this scenario, procrastination may regulate emotion successfully in the short term while undermining academic self-regulation in the longer term. Meanwhile, students' sensitivity of reward and punishment may moderate this conflict between immediate relief and delayed academic goals [5]. Significantly, longitudinal research among junior high school students found that fear of negative evaluation was associated with more severe procrastination and increased risk of movement toward severe profiles [11]. Thus, procrastination may function as short-term avoidance of threats to competence or self-worth while undermining longer-term learning goals.

Furthermore, procrastination is shaped by adolescents' social and environmental contexts. Digital and other competing activities may offer immediately attractive alternatives to academic work [1, 4]. Longitudinal evidence further indicates that parental psychological control and deviant peer affiliation are associated with more severe procrastination among junior high school students. Peer influence predicted movement toward more severe profiles, while parental psychological control appeared to hinder improvement from severe procrastination [11]. These contexts may weaken autonomy, intrinsic motivation, or proactive self-regulation and reinforce task-irrelevant behaviour.

These contextual influences also indicate that self-regulation does not ought to be regarded as an entirely individual capacity. Adolescents regulate learning within environments that can either support or undermine autonomous goal pursuit. Excessive parental control may reduce opportunities to practise independent decision-making, while peers who normalise disengagement may increase the attractiveness of non-academic alternatives [11]. Similarly, constant access to digital rewards can increase the regulatory demands placed on students [1, 4]. Thus, apparent "failures" of self-control may partly reflect environments in which distractions are highly accessible and autonomous academic regulation is insufficiently supported.

In sum, these mechanisms are likely to operate interactively. For intance, low self-efficacy may increase anxiety, then anxiety may increase avoidance, and an environment with immediately rewarding alternatives may make avoidance easier to initial. Therefore, SRL perspective explains procrastination as a dynamic interaction between individual regulatory processes and the contexts in which academic goals are pursued.

5. Intervention strategies for adolescent academic procrastination

Considering an adolescent who repeatedly postpones a two-week school assignment, the student initially feels uncertain about completing the task. They experience anxiety when thinking about difficulty and subsequently turns to social media for temporary relief. When the deadline approaches, the task is completed under increasing stress. Thus, this case illustrates how multiple regulatory mechanisms may operate together.

Schools enables to enhance self-regulation through task breakdown, intermediate deadlines, goal setting, progress monitoring, and structured study plans. These strategies directly solve difficulties in planning and translating intentions into action. A systematic review found self-regulation to be the most addressed dimension in academic procrastination interventions, including time management, goal setting, emotion regulation, and self-control [12]. However, most reviewed interventions focus on university students. There are relatively few including secondary education, limiting direct generalisation to adolescents [12]. Applied to this case, the teacher could divide the two-week assignment into topic selection, initial research, first draft, and revision, with brief progress checks at each stage. Not only does this approach more than set additional deadlines, but also it provides

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

external support for planning and monitoring while allowing the student gradually to practise these processes independently. Thus, school intervention ought to aim to transfer regulation from teachers' support structure toward increasingly autonomous student regulation.

Families may support self-regulation by providing stable daily routines, realistic expectations, emotional support, and increasing autonomy rather than excessive psychological control. Longitudinal evidence among junior high school students indicates that greater parental psychological control is associated with more severe procrastination and may hinder improvement among severe procrastinators [11]. These findings support autonomy supportive parental involvement as a plausible intervention target, although direct adolescent family aspect of intervention evidence remains limited [1, 11]. Parents could support the same student by agreeing on a predictable study routine and discussing difficulties without completing or excessively supervising the task. This difference is significant because effective family support should enable students to build a sense of self-regulation. Excessive monitoring may produce short-term compliance while limiting opportunities for adolescents to make decisions, experience consequences, and develop autonomous regulatory skills [11]. Thus, family intervention ought to keep balance between structure and gradually increasing responsibility.

Social and digital environments can also be considered to reduce competing influences. Strategies include turning off notifications, planned study time without digital devices, positive peer study norms, and peer mentoring. Proactive environmental regulation can reduce exposure to tempting alternatives before effortful self-control becomes necessary [4]. In addition, deviant peer association has prospectively predicted more severe procrastination profiles among adolescents [11], suggesting that supportive peer environments are important. In addition, environmental modification could involve turning off notifications, placing the phone outside the study area, or scheduling a period for digital use after completing a study goal. Such strategies reduce reliance on effortful resistance [4]. However, digital restriction is unlikely to address procrastination when avoidance is driven primarily by anxiety, low self-efficacy, or unclear task planning. Therefore, environmental strategies ought to complement.

In sum, intervention should keep aligned with the dominant self-regulatory mechanism while coordinating support across school, family, and social environments.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper examines adolescent academic procrastination from the perspective of self-regulated learning theory. Instead of viewing procrastination simply as poor time management, laziness, or delayed behaviour, the analysis suggests that it can be understood as a multidimensional self-regulatory problem involving difficulties in motivation, metacognitive regulation, emotional regulation, and the management of social and environmental influences. These difficulties may appear through delayed task initiation, displacement by competing activities, and last-minute preparation or completion. Additionally, the analysis indicates that procrastination is shaped by individual regulatory difficulties and family, peer, school, and digital contexts. Accordingly, effective intervention ought to be directed at the specific mechanisms maintaining procrastination and combine school-based self-regulation support, family-based autonomy support, and supportive social or digital environments.

However, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, much of the existing evidence on self-regulated learning and procrastination has been derived from university-student samples, which limits direct generalisation to adolescents. Second, adolescent-specific intervention research remains relatively limited, particularly at the family and social levels. In addition, the present paper is based

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE38546

on theoretical analysis and existing literature rather than original empirical data, so it fails to establish causal relationships between specific self-regulatory difficulties and procrastination. Findings may also vary across cultural, educational, and developmental contexts.

Therefore, future research should analyse adolescent procrastination employing longitudinal and intervention-based designs. Greater attention ought to be paid to the dynamic interactions over time among self-efficacy, planning, emotion regulation, parental control, peer influence, and digital distractions. In addition, adolescent-specific interventions should be tested across school, family, and social contexts to determine which strategies are most effective for different procrastination profiles. In a word, understanding procrastination as a dynamic self-regulatory process may support more targeted and developmentally appropriate prevention and intervention.

References

[1] González-Brignardello, M. P., Sánchez-Elvira Paniagua, A., & López-González, M. Á. (2023). Academic procrastination in children and adolescents: A scoping review. *Children, 10*(6), 1016. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10061016

[2] Özer, B. U., & Ferrari, J. R. (2011). Gender orientation and academic procrastination: Exploring Turkish high school students. *Individual Differences Research, 9*(1). https://doi.org/10.65030/idr.09004

[3] Svartdal, F., Granmo, S., & Færevaag, F. S. (2018). On the behavioral side of procrastination: Exploring behavioral delay in real-life settings. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*(746). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00746

[4] Svartdal, F., & Løkke, J. A. (2022). The ABC of academic procrastination: Functional analysis of a detrimental habit. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1019261

[5] Sözer, Ö. T. (2026). Understanding procrastination and its association with psychopathology from reinforcement sensitivity and personality functioning perspectives. *Personality and Individual Differences, 255*, 113693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2026.113693

[6] Wolters, C. A. (2003). Understanding procrastination from a self-regulated learning perspective. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(1), 179–187. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.1.179

[7] Tao, X., Hanif, H., & Lieqin, W. (2025). The effects of self-regulated learning strategies on academic procrastination and academic success among college EFL students in China. *Frontiers in Psychology, 16*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1562980

[8] Bhadrasing Brahma, & Polee Saikia. (2023). Influence of self-regulated learning on the academic procrastination of college students. *12*, 182–182. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_1106_22

[9] Torre, D., & Daley, B. J. (2023). Cyclical phases and processes of self-regulated learning. *Medical Teacher*, 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159x.2023.2186342

[10] Callan, G. L., Rubenstein, L. D., Ridgley, L. M., Speirs Neumeister, K., & Hernández Finch, M. E. (2021). Self-regulated learning as a cyclical process and predictor of creative problem-solving. *Educational Psychology, 41*(9), 1139–1159. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2021.1913575

[11] Wu, D., Li, J., Yang, Q., & Ye, B. (2024). Exploring the dynamics of academic procrastination in junior high school students: Examining predictors using latent transition analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences, 229*, 112750–112750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2024.112750

[12] Salguero-Pazos, M. R., & Reyes-de-Cózar, S. (2023). Interventions to reduce academic procrastination: A systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Research, 121*(102228), 102228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2023.102228