Proceedings of ICEIPI 2026 Symposium: School Psychology, Trauma-Informed Practice and Sustainable Learning Environments
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

Investigating the Impact of Peer Tutoring Programs on Student Learning Outcomes in Higher Education

Dongyi Xiang

School of Environment, Education and Development, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
chloexiang6633@outlook.com

Abstract. Peer tutoring, broadly defined as a structured arrangement in which one student helps another learn. While peer tutoring has been applied extensively as an intervention strategy in higher education institutions around the world, there is inconsistency in the findings on the impacts of peer tutoring due to the methodologies used, the disciplines under review, and the theories on which the studies are premised. The current dissertation seeks to explore how peer tutoring programs impact students' academic outcomes in higher education institutions based on a desk analysis of primary literature in the form of empirical studies conducted from 2015 to 2025 and any supplementary literature where applicable. Using a social constructivism theory that incorporates concepts from Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development theory and Piaget's cognitive equilibration theory, the thematic analysis of 45 sources related to peer tutoring, theoretical literature, and methodology yields five themes: academic performance and content mastery; motivation and engagement; communication and collaboration; effectiveness determinants; and learner resistance to tutoring participation. It emerges from the analysis that peer tutoring impacts students' academic achievements positively with moderate to large effect sizes. Specifically, individual tutoring is highly effective in fostering students' academic performance, and tutoring situations where the tutors are professionally trained yield the highest gains. However, a critical evaluation of current literature reveals ongoing weaknesses in terms of methodology, such as insufficient control groups, disciplinary and geographic biases, and underdeveloped theoretical mechanisms explaining relationships between peer tutoring programs and outcomes. Structural factors, namely unskilled peer tutors, weak cooperation between teachers and peer tutors, and poor quality of peer tutoring programs, together with students' opposition based on language anxiety, competitive academic environment, and peer expertise delegitimization, inhibit peer tutoring effectiveness.

Keywords: Peer Tutoring, Social Constructivism, Higher Education, Academic Performance, Zone of Proximal Development

1. Introduction

Peer tutoring refers to an organized approach to education involving students of similar social and academic backgrounds assisting each other in the process of learning by playing designated roles of tutor and tutee [1]. The attractiveness of peer tutoring for higher education lies in the double

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

potential: it can improve tutees' academic results while at the same time helping tutors to acquire greater knowledge of subjects and develop teaching skills [2]. During the past three decades, universities worldwide have adopted peer tutoring in various fields, including life sciences and mathematics, language learning, and healthcare [3]. The development of distance learning during the COVID-19 pandemic has also expanded the available delivery modes [4].

2. Literature review and theoretical foundations

This chapter provides a literature review on theories and empirical studies associated with peer tutoring at a higher education level. The literature is reviewed along thematic lines, starting from issues like definition and typologies to theory and empirical results regarding five specific research questions. Peer-reviewed studies from 2015 to 2025 were selected through Google Scholar using search terms including "peer tutoring higher education," "peer-assisted learning outcomes," "Zone of Proximal Development peer learning," and "student resistance peer tutoring."

Peer tutoring is not a single intervention but a family of related practices. the most frequently cited classification divides peer tutoring into categories based on fixed versus reciprocal roles of peers, same-age vs. cross-age settings, and informal vs. formal environments. Fixed-role peer tutoring implies that one student serves as the expert throughout the tutoring process, while under reciprocal peer tutoring, the student changes his/her role between sessions or even during the same session. In cross-age peer tutoring, the peer tutor and tutee belong to different academic levels of the program. On the contrary, in same-age peer tutoring, both the tutor and tutee are at the same level, that is, within the same class or course. Based on the comparison, the role differentiation occurs in cross-age peer tutoring, while same-age peer tutoring encourages equality and mutual learning [5].

3. Research methodology

3.1. Research design

The research design adopted for this study was a desk-based literature review. This particular design was chosen based on the type of questions being investigated. All five research questions needed information from different sources. These sources could not have been derived from one primary source only. Literature review is specifically designed to generate knowledge by synthesizing a wide body of academic literature, identifying patterns in areas of agreement and disagreement, and critically evaluating different theories and explanations of empirical findings [6]. This made it the optimal choice among all methods for the current dissertation research.

Several alternative designs were examined during the literature search process; however, they were rejected due to various shortcomings. An interview-based, qualitative study that involved students and tutors at one institution would have generated a great deal of contextually rich data; however, it would lack the scope needed to address the research questions asked. A large-scale, quantitative survey study would allow for a greater variety of outcomes to be measured, but would require access to institutions and permission to conduct research at these institutions—a process that would have taken too much time. Finally, a systematic review with meta-analysis was also considered but rejected because, although two quantitative questions (KQ1, KQ5) would allow some form of meta-analysis, the remaining three (KQ2, KQ3, KQ4) would require interpretive evaluation of varied types of qualitative, conceptual, and mixed-methods literature. A thematically organised desk-based review was therefore better suited to the full range of questions than any single primary or purely quantitative method.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

In this study, a qualitative analysis was applied in contrast to a quantitative method. While the use of quantitative measures, including effect sizes derived from meta-analyses, is important, the interpretive perspective was prioritized. It allowed answering the questions regarding why and how peer tutoring works in certain ways, and for which individuals. The interpretive perspective better aligns with both the complexities of research questions and the epistemology used in the study, as discussed in the next section.

3.2. Research outcomes

This chapter presents a critical assessment of findings drawn from the desk-based literature review. This study is anchored on the theory of social constructivism that asserts that individuals learn from social interactions instead of being recipients of knowledge transfer. Using the conceptual thematic analysis framework), the literature was organised around four primary themes: (1) positive impacts on learning outcomes; (2) structural limitations and shortcomings of peer tutoring programs; (3) student resistance to participation; and (4) contextual factors affecting effectiveness. These themes address the five research questions that structure the dissertation.

Table 1. Summary of basic characteristics and main findings of included studies literature synthesis matrix (selected sources)

Source	KQ	Theme	Key Finding	Design
[1]	KQ1	Academic performance	Improved at knowledge, comprehension, and application levels	Empirical
[2]	KQ1, KQ5	Performance & context	g = 0.480; larger effects for individualised and Asian contexts	Meta-analysis
[3]	KQ1	Motivation & engagement	30% more credits; improved study behaviour (RCT)	RCT
[4]	KQ1, KQ3	Motivation & resistance	Improved study habits; nudges shift participation	Field experiment
[5]	KQ1	Communication & language	g = 0.84; metacognitive prompts amplify effect	Meta-analysis
[6]	KQ1	Communication	Improved participation, confidence, motivation in language learning	Qualitative
[7]	KQ2, KQ3	Structural & resistance	Trained tutors reduce controlling styles; language barriers noted	Mixed methods
[8]	KQ2, KQ5	Program design	Faculty–tutor partnerships shape outcomes	Multi-case

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

Table 1. (continued)

[9]	KQ2	Program structure	Cumulative tutor development model sustains quality	Conceptual

Note. KQ = Key Research Question. RCT = Randomised Controlled Trial.

3.3. Peer tutoring and academic performance

The most consistent finding across the literature is that peer tutoring produces meaningful gains in academic performance. According to meta-analysing 27 experiments and quasi-experiments, peer tutoring results in a modest positive effect size (Hedges' g = 0.480), exhibits considerable variability. Specifically, found that personalized tutoring was more effective than group-based tutoring, and Asian university tutoring produced larger gains than its Western counterpart – an observation implying that the peer tutoring effect stems from complex interactions between tutoring format, educational context, and participants' characteristics rather than being inherent to the intervention itself [7].

Regarding STEM domains, In learning outcomes due to peer tutoring, but the effect size was noticeably smaller compared to other domains and showed higher variability. The reciprocal format turned out to be the most efficient method among them.

Despite this broadly positive picture, the methodological quality of most studies on peer tutoring leaves much to be desired. For instance, many tutoring experiments do not include appropriate control groups, resort to self-reported data, or ignore selection bias [8]. All of these limitations do not necessarily invalidate the results obtained; nevertheless, they preclude overgeneralisation of findings. Notably, the most rigorous study to date is that : a randomized control experiment showed that students randomly assigned to tutoring earned 30% more credits and had a GPA roughly one grade point higher.

3.4. Communication skills and collaborative learning

Peer tutoring also improves communicative competence and the ability for collaborative inquiry. participation, communication confidence, and motivation for learning Arabic were high among students who were engaged in peer tutoring. This is one practical example that shows how dialogue, which involves speaking, active listening, and meaning negotiation, aids in building communication skills needed for academic discourse participation. Conceptually, This finding lends itself to a sound interpretation within the theoretical framework of dialogue in cognitive processing. According to this theory, language does not only serve as a tool for communicating existing ideas but also as the means of higher mental operations.

That peer tutoring significantly influences communication skills, as demonstrated by the large effect size (Hedges' g = 0.84). Moreover, one of the study findings may have direct instructional applications: peer tutoring interventions that involve metacognitive prompts and encourage students to articulate their reasoning produce significantly greater gains compared to interventions without them. The results thus indicate that merely pairing the participants is not enough: reflection on their learning processes seems to facilitate effective communication.

focusing on peer tutoring methods in a UK university ChangeMakers project, showed an improvement in collaborative learning and student engagement. Nevertheless, as noted by the

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

authors, the small number of sites and short duration of intervention make generalizations inappropriate.

3.5. Factors affecting the effectiveness of peer tutoring programs

The effectiveness of peer tutoring is not fixed but contingent on structural, institutional, and contextual variables.

First is tutor training and pedagogical preparation. The process works through the formation of the tutor's "teaching persona", resulting in greater tutor sensitivity to communication and tutee comprehension cues. according to which adequate preparation consists of repeated interactions rather than just a single orientation meeting. Universities investing only in brief sessions will thus be failing to maximize performance potential.

The second important factor is the partnership between faculty members and tutors. In the multi-case study conducted, it was found that faculty willingness to share assessment criteria and pedagogical explanations makes tutors more effective and increases tutee satisfaction. Tutors receiving very little interaction with faculty will be unable to provide enough pedagogical knowledge needed for the programme to work effectively.

The size and format of tutoring sessions matter as well. that while role switching in reciprocal formats can lead to good group results, proper structuring and intentionality of the process are key for this to happen.

Lastly, peer tutoring effectiveness may differ by discipline. Clearly, the design of peer tutoring programmes should be discipline-dependent.

3.6. Structural limitations and challenges of peer tutoring programs

Structural problems existing within programs themselves hinder the effectiveness of peer tutoring interventions. that untrained tutors apply controlling or too permissive approaches, which cannot provide appropriate scaffolding for tutees, which contradicts ZPD model principles.

Role ambiguity is one of the structural problems described . Tutors who do not know the extent of their responsibility towards faculty can act either too aggressively or too passively. Role ambiguity often accompanies the invisibility of peer tutoring programs in educational institutions, where peer tutoring occurs without any formal recognition. In such instances, tutors receive limited professional support, and the program may become ineffective because of the departure of certain employees.

4. Overview of conclusions

4.1. Peer tutoring produces meaningful but conditional benefits

Peer tutoring, when well-managed and appropriately structured, is shown to make notable improvements in academic performance, motivation, and communicative competence, according to meta-analysis statistics. Thus, as can be inferred from the findings reported, the average effect size of peer tutoring in higher education is $g = 0.480$, making this educational strategy moderately strong and comparable to feedback and formative assessment effects investigated [9]. Concerning second language writing, the effect size is quite large, being $g = 0.84$. Therefore, the effect of peer tutoring is especially effective due to linguistic tasks, peer reviews, and metacognition.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

It is important to acknowledge that the benefits of peer tutoring have prerequisites. There are significant differences in effect sizes depending on various factors, such as context, format, and the university where the process takes place. It is incorrect to speak about "peer tutoring effect" in general because the effectiveness of the intervention depends on numerous factors. They include the design of the program, training of tutors, cooperation between teachers and learners, and the willingness of students to participate in peer tutoring.

4.2. Theoretical pluralism is necessary

According to this dissertation, the idea of self-efficacy can be used to explain the role of motivation in the transition from assisted performances to autonomous learning skills. Each theoretical concept offers an understanding of peer tutoring processes that cannot be obtained from the other two theories.

4.3. Structural investment is non-negotiable

The evidence on program quality is unambiguous: peer tutoring without adequate structural investment, in terms of tutor training, faculty involvement, and institution commitment, works much less effectively than peer tutoring with proper structural investment . The finding has important financial implications for universities that find themselves in a disadvantaged environment. It is appealing to use peer tutoring as an alternative learning tool as opposed to classroom lectures since there are no expenses for paying additional instructors' salaries and using classrooms for teaching. However, the information suggests that the costs saved because of insufficient preparation of tutors cannot outweigh the negative effect on learning efficiency.

5. Conclusion

Peer tutoring represents one of the most promising and theoretically well-grounded tools available to universities seeking to improve student learning outcomes in the face of an ever-expanding student body and scarce financial resources. Peer tutoring programs designed in accordance with the principles outlined in this dissertation have proved to be able to provide a significant effect on a variety of academic performance indicators, and the underlying mechanisms of such an effect are becoming well understood. However, there are many instances in educational research when innovative interventions were unable to reach their full potential precisely because the implementing institutions failed to fully comprehend under what conditions the program would work. Thus, the key point made in the dissertation is that despite all its benefits and theoretical underpinnings, the peer tutoring program is not invulnerable to such problems; its effect may depend on many factors, some of which may be related to equity considerations. The universities willing to adopt the program should realize it and be prepared to invest the necessary efforts into ensuring its success.

References

[1] Topping, K. J. (2005). Trends in peer learning. *Educational Psychology, 25*(6), 631–645. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410500345172.

[2] Topping, K. (2015). Peer tutoring: Old method, new developments / Tutoría entre iguales: Método antiguo, nuevos avances. *Journal for the Study of Education and Development Infancia Y Aprendizaje, 38*(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/02103702.2014.996407.

[3] Gazula, S., McKenna, L., Cooper, S., & Paliadelis, P. (2017). A systematic review of reciprocal peer tutoring within tertiary health profession educational programs. *Health Professions Education, 3*(2), 64–78.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.PE36554

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpe.2016.12.001.
[4] Hardt, D., Nagler, M., & Rincke, J. (2023). Tutoring in (online) higher education: Experimental evidence. *Economics of Education Review, 92*, 102350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2022.102350.
[5] Alegre, F., Moliner, L., Maroto, A., & Lorenzo-Valentin, G. (2020). Academic achievement and peer tutoring in mathematics: A comparison between primary and secondary education. *SAGE Open, 10*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020929295.
[6] Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
[7] Zhang, C., Sun, N., Jiang, Y., Liu, H., & Huang, Q. (2025). The impact of peer tutoring programs on students' academic performance in higher education: A meta-analysis. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 34*(4), 1495–1506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-024-00960-0
[8] Stigmar, M. (2016). Peer-to-peer teaching in higher education: A critical literature review. *Mentoring & Tutoring Partnership in Learning, 24*(2), 124–136. https://doi.org/10.1080/13611267.2016.1178963
[9] Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Corwin