Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Educational Innovation and Psychological Insights
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

A Comparative Analysis of Football Development Systems in China and Global Contexts

Kening Lai

Shanghai Pudong Experimental School, Shanghai, China
laikkonen421@gmail.com

Abstract. Football development is increasingly understood as a systemic process shaped by institutional, economic, and cultural conditions rather than purely technical or individual factors. This paper conducts a comparative analysis of football development systems in China and selected global cases, in order to examine the structural sources of performance differences. The analysis focuses on three interconnected dimensions: league and institutional structure, economic and professional ecosystem, and cultural approaches to talent development. The findings suggest that the development gap in Chinese football is not the result of isolated deficiencies, but is instead rooted in a mutually reinforcing system of constraints across these dimensions. Institutional limitations reduce competitive intensity, economic underdevelopment restricts long-term investment capacity, and cultural factors influence creativity and player formation. Together, these elements form a systemic lock-in effect that reinforces existing developmental patterns. The study highlights the importance of viewing football development as an integrated system, where sustainable improvement requires coordinated changes across multiple structural levels.

Keywords: football development, comparative analysis, institutional structure, sports economy, systemic lock-in effect

1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed increasing global attention on football development in China, particularly in light of the country's continued efforts to improve its international competitiveness in the sport [1]. Although there are regular policy campaigns, investment growth, and structural adjustments in the domestic leagues, Chinese football is yet to reach a stable growth in major international tournaments. This opposition has repeatedly been the subject of popular discussion in sports media as well as social commentary in general [2]. Meanwhile, the world of football is also changing at a fast rate. Commercial and competitive dominance of the major European leagues has only been enhanced, and other countries like Japan and South Korea have also shown a relatively stable talent pipeline and stable performance on the global stage. Moreover, the growing prominence of youth development structures like NCAA in the United States puts into perspective the value of organized bridges between education, training and professional sport [3].

It is against this background that the performance difference between Chinese football and top football countries can not simply be attributed to technical or individual level factors. Rather, it

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

identifies more structural variations that exist within institutional structures, economic organization, and cultural settings that are all interwoven to influence the development and reproduction of football. The paper will take the comparative analysis approach in order to analyze these structural differences. It takes into consideration three dimensions (1) league and institutional structure; (2) economic and professional football ecosystem; and (3) cultural context and talent development pathways. China is contrasted against representative football systems in Europe and the United States that are chosen based on their differences but developed models. This study aims to bring about a well-organized reflection on the systemic character of football development gaps, focusing on the role of various structural arrangements in shaping the long-term competitiveness. Instead of individual variables, the analysis presents the interrelationship of institutional design, economic support, and cultural foundation to national football performance.

2. Comparison of systems and leagues

2.1. League structure and competition intensity

When evaluating football systems in China and Europe, the most notable factor is the amount of intensity and the league structure of the domestic league. A disadvantage of the Chinese Super League (CSL) is the lackage of teams and fewer matches in an entire season [4]. As a result, players have less opportunities to participate in a sufficient amount of matches, which is a vital factor in developing footballing skills and tactical understanding.

Unlike the CSL, Europe's major footballing leagues have a high intensity of competition for an entire season [5]. Teams have the ability to play matches under continual high pressure. This empowers players to remain at an optimal physical condition, which is a prerequisite for adjusting footballing tactics. This is important, for, sustained competition in a high pressured environment is the most beneficial for the improvement of players and teams. League structure does play a major role in the trajectory of players' development in football.

2.2. Schedule structure and international alignment

The other significant structural variation is the seasonal structure of domestic leagues. The Chinese league is non-cross year and the major continental leagues, most European leagues, and major continental competitions are cross-year [4]. This disparity causes some kind of mismatch between local competition systems and international football systems.

This misalignment can cause imbalances in the competitive rhythm as clubs and players are moving between local leagues and international competitions. As an example, clubs that are involved in continental tournaments like the AFC champions league, may experience a clash of schedules and variation in the preparation time which may influence squad rotation, fitness control and the uniformity of performance through competitions [6]. Discrepancy between domestic league scheduling and the international match schedule thus diminishes structural integrity of competitive development.

Comparatively, the J-League of Japan had similar problems in the past but has over time managed to change its institutional orientation in order to align with the international standards [7]. Despite the limits of full seasonal synchronization due to climatic and logistical considerations, particularly in the northern parts of the country, Japan has continued to seek reform options that enhance its integration into the world football scheduling systems. This involves both the long-term deliberations on the calendar reorganization and optimization of the time of competition to be better

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

integrated between the domestic and international competitions. The examples indicate that calendar design is not just a set of administrative arrangements, but a significant structural aspect which affects competitive persistence and international integration.

2.3. Reform implications: the J-League experience

Japan's J-League has some useful lessons to be learned in terms of how domestic leagues may gradually evolve to meet international football standards. Like China, J-League used to have a non-cross-year seasonal system. However, as Japan integrated more deeply with Asian and global competitions, deficiencies in calendar and competitive alignment become more pronounced [7]. To fill in this gap, Japanese football has started to implement changes that would allow more international scheduling system compatibility. A full cross-year system is not going to be possible in Japan due to weather and geography, especially with the northern regions, but it is clear that Japan is trying to move in that direction. Changes to the season's scheduling and Japan's coordination with the AFC are examples of the system trying to make these changes.

This case suggests that league system reform is typically an incremental process rather than a sudden structural shift. More importantly, it highlights that even within practical constraints, domestic football systems can still move toward better synchronization with global competition frameworks, thereby improving long-term developmental consistency.

3. Economic and professional ecosystem comparison

3.1. Structural differences in the football industry

Compared to the England Premier League, the Chinese football industry has a lot to improve in structural development considering that the industry in Europe has matured [8]. One of the main variations between the two European and Chinese football Industries is the fact there is a football industry chain in Europe, while in China there is a football industry, but the chain is incomplete this is because there are low levels of revenue generation, and in addition to that there is poor performance in the matchday economy, stadium utilization, merchandising, and brand long-term commercialization.

The income and revenues generated in England Premier League is what has made it to develop a good Infrastructure [8]. All the revenue income that is generated from the matches played and the broadcasting rights, and the sponsorships, and the merchandise sales are intertwined and interconnected and they help in strengthening and supporting the ecosystem that is commercial which in turn keeps sustaining the league and improving the development of the clubs.

3.2. Level of professional management and governance

The other distinction is another important one in terms of professionalization of football management. The governance structures of European football clubs are typically quite market-oriented with financial planning, recruitment strategies, and long-term development being conducted on professional and specialized systems [9]. The decision making processes are quite stable and directed towards long-term competitive and financial goals. Conversely, a relatively strong administrative influence is still experienced in some areas of Chinese football administration. The short-term performance results can be more dominant in making decisions, thus restricting the sustainability of long-term strategic planning. This structural disparity is not only working on the efficiency of operations at the club level, but the stability of the football development pathways.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

3.3. Indirect effect of commercialization on competitive performance

The variation of the economic and professional ecosystem also indirectly, yet, substantially impacts the competitiveness of sport. Well-established football systems have a high level of commercial revenue to provide a constant reinvestment in the essential developmental areas of youth academies, training facilities, sports science, and talent identification. This forms a vicious circle whereby economic power sustains sport performance, which subsequently adds more commercial value. But in less developed systems of commercial development, it is common to have a smaller investment power in long-term developmental structures. Consequently, more attention can be given to the immediate level of competitiveness, and talent development continuity can be undermined. In the long term, this can result in a less sustainable growth trend, in which the growth in performance becomes harder to maintain.

4. Comparison of culture and talent development

4.1. Culture and social foundations of football

Football development is deeply rooted in its broader cultural and social environment. In England, football culture has evolved over more than a century and is closely embedded within local communities [10]. Clubs are often historically connected to specific cities or regions, and fan identity is shaped through long-term social attachment. This community-based structure provides a stable cultural foundation that supports continuous participation and widespread grassroots engagement.

Conversely, the football culture in China has not been well ingrained at the community level. Despite the growth in interest in professional football in recent years, there is still a low overall participation level and continuity of the culture [11]. Football is perceived as rather a professional sport than a common social activity, which undermines the richness of its cultural underpinning.

4.2. Youth development pathways and talent structure

There is also a significant organizational structure disparity in the youth development structures. The academic performance in China is usually given more importance in comparison to the development of athletics hence there is an insufficient integration of education and professional sports training [4]. The outcome is that numerous young players have experienced early discontinuity in their football career and instances of early withdrawal out of organized training structures are not uncommon.

Comparatively, the United States has formulated a better organized and institutionalized talent development channel via the NCAA system [12]. The development of high school sports through university levels and ending up with professional leagues offers a relatively stable development ladder. This system enables athletes to balance teaching with high-level training, which guarantees the sporting and non-sporting career choices.

4.3. Person expression and football creativity

Attitudes to individual expression in the football development systems are another critical aspect of cultural difference. Individual expression is seen by some as a significant aspect of player identity and creativity in many developed football cultures. This can be seen not just in playing style, but also personal presentation on the outside which adds to psychological confidence and person

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

recognition within the sport [13]. To illustrate this, in world football, hairstyle, appearance and personal style are commonly perceived as part of a players identity as opposed to being criticized. Performers like those with unique hairstyles or visual aesthetics are often better identified by viewers, and in other occasions, such self-expression becomes a part of their overall public image and branding [14]. This exposure is further added to in the age of social media where personal uniqueness can add to personal confidence and business worth.

This has been contrasted by the youth development systems in China whose focus has always been on uniformity, discipline and collective identity. There are certain training cultures where outside signs like colored hair or unusual hair styles are not allowed because it helps to uphold organizational uniformity. Although these practices can add to discipline and team bonding, they can also lower the chances of differentiating people at critical stages of development.

Developmentally, this distinction is not simply aesthetic, but can also have an impact on psychological and behavioral aspects of player development. The greater controllability at training can be achieved with the help of a more standardized environment, yet the latter can also reduce experimentation, risk-taking, and expressive behavior, which are also valuable elements of creativity in football performance.

5. Discussion

The comparative analysis in the above sections indicates that the gap in development between the Chinese football and more mature football systems cannot be attributed to single factors. Rather, it is a result of the interplay between institutional, economic, and cultural aspects, which will create a reinforcing system that influences the formation of the developmental outcomes in the long term.

To begin with, the league system is institutionally constrained in such a way that it restricts the general intensity of competition. As has been mentioned above, the density of matches and the partial lack of correspondence with international competition structures make the high-level exposure to competition less frequent. This in its turn influences the rate at which the players can be adjusted to high-pressure conditions, thus limiting the development of overall performance.

Secondly, this structural constraint is further strengthened by economic constraints in the football industry. Underdeveloped commercial ecosystems relative to underdeveloped countries make investment in such important long-term sectors as youth development, infrastructure, and professional training systems comparatively low. This leads to the lack of a stable and self-reliant talent pipeline, which in turn undermines competitiveness in the long-term.

Thirdly, cultural considerations of talent development and individual expression helps in formulating the player formation at a younger age. The increased focus on uniformity and discipline, although helpful in controlling the organization, can decrease the possibilities of individual creativity and risk taking behavior. This can affect the general variability and flexibility of playing styles, vital elements of high-level football performance in the long term.

These three dimensions are not independent of each other. Rather, they play off each other. The institutional constraints minimize the competitiveness which undermines commercial appeal; economic returns limited future investment in development systems; and the cultural restrictions can further limit the development of very creative talent profiles. Collectively, these mechanisms turn into a reinforcing loop that is challenging to disrupt by incremental changes only. This tendency may be abstracted as a systemic lock-in effect, whereby structures are reproduced by themselves, via interrelated feedback loops. In this type of a system, changes in one dimension might not be enough to produce a major change in general unless there are coordinated changes in institutional, economic, and cultural domains. Thus, the gap in development in football must not be interpreted as

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

the consequence of the shortcomings of one point, but rather as the consequence of the structurally entrenched system that tends to balance itself in the long run.

6. Conclusion

Through a comparative analysis of institutional, economic and cultural aspects, this paper has discussed the structural differences in football development between China and more established football systems. The results indicate that the performance discrepancy in Chinese football cannot be mainly due to lack of individual or technical performance, but rather due to more deep-rooted systemic discrepancies enshrined within the general football development landscape.

Institutionally, variations in league structure, competitive intensity, and international alignment are the basic terms of how players are formed. Economically, the comparative lack of development of the football industry restricts long-term investment in major developmental aspects, including youth training and infrastructure, thus limiting sustainable development. Culturally, different ways of development of talent and individuality affect creativity, flexibility and the development of a long term player. These factors interact to create a systemic lock-in effect that strengthens current development patterns.

In spite of these insights, this study has a number of limitations. It is rather conceptual and comparative in its analysis, and does not involve empirical data and quantitative modeling at large scale to quantify the relative importance of each factor. Moreover, the discussion is limited primarily to the representative cases in Europe, Japan, and the United States which might not be a complete reflection of the variety of models of football development across the globe. The next wave of research might elaborate on the subject by including the analysis of empirical data, including longitudinal performance indicators, financial data, or player development paths. Further comparative studies of a wider variety of football systems would also contribute to the perfection of the structural differences perception.

References

[1] Junior, E. L., & Rodrigues, C. (2019). The Chinese plan for football development: a perspective from innovation theory. Sport, Business and Management: an international journal, 9(1), 63-77.
[2] Woods, R. B., Woods, R., & Butler, B. N. (2026). Social issues in sport. Human Kinetics.
[3] White, G. E. (2022). Soccer in American Culture: The Beautiful Game's Struggle for Status. University of Missouri Press.
[4] Wang, Z., Fang, Z., Dai, R., Niu, Z., & Liu, H. (2025). Match performance analysis on the Chinese football association super league: A systematic review. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 20(2), 812-826.
[5] Wagner, F., Schubert, M., Preuss, H., & Könecke, T. (2022). Competitive intensity in differently regulated men's football leagues: evidence from English premier league and German Bundesliga. Sport, Business and Management: An International Journal, 12(5), 580-597.
[6] Abdolmaleki, H., Soleimani, M., Khodadad Kashi, S., Mahmoudi, A., & Varmus, M. (2022). To Win or Not to Win: How to Success in the Asian Champions League. Cultura_Ciencia_Deporte [CCD], 17(53).
[7] Horrillo, T., & de Dios, J. (2026). No Draws, New Incentives: League Design and Incentives in the Japanese J-League. New Incentives: League Design and Incentives in the Japanese J-League (March 12, 2026).
[8] Parnell, D., Rookwood, J., Bond, A., Widdop, P., & Ludvigsen, J. A. L. (2022). 'It'sa whole new ball game': thirty years of the English Premier League. Soccer & Society, 23(4-5), 329-333.
[9] Ivašković, I. (2024). Non-profit sports clubs in (post) transitional Europe: A sustainable business strategy, the alternatives, and the role of stakeholders. Journal of East European Management Studies, 29(3), 516.
[10] Giulianotti, R. (2022). Styling Glocalization. International Studies in Sociology and Social Anthropology, 203.
[11] Fan, H., & Mangan, J. A. (Eds.). (2004). Soccer, women, sexual liberation: kicking off a new era (Vol. 4, No. 2-3). Taylor & Francis.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.33502

[12] Bowen, W. G., & Levin, S. A. (2003). Reclaiming the Game: College Sports and Educational Values. Princeton University Press.
[13] Giulianotti, R., & Robertson, R. (2012). Mapping the global football field: a sociological model of transnational forces within the world game. The British journal of sociology, 63(2), 216-240.
[14] Smart, B. (2005). The Sport Star: Modern Sport and the Cultural Economy of Sporting Celebrity. SAGE.