Proceedings of ICSPHS 2026 Symposium: Ethics, Law, and Psychology: Interdisciplinary Reconstruction of Humanities in Medicine
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

A Comparative Analysis of Piaget's and Vygotsky's Theories on Children's Cognitive Development

Jinchen Wang

Ulink College of Shanghai, Shanghai, China
wjc19916500812@163.com

Abstract. Children's cognitive development, including the growth of perception, thinking, language and other abilities, is a core research field in developmental psychology, with significant implications for early education and developmental interventions. Jean Piaget's cognitive development theory and Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory are two seminal frameworks that have significantly influenced the comprehension of children's cognitive development, however they present divergent viewpoints on critical issues. This paper systematically compares the two theories across four dimensions: theoretical foundations, the origins of cognitive development, the roles of social interaction and language, and developmental stages. It also synthesizes empirical evidence supporting each theory, analyzes their respective limitations, and explores their contemporary value and application in educational practice. The paper concludes that Piaget emphasizes individuals' active engagement with the physical environment and the staged nature of cognitive development, whereas Vygotsky stresses the primacy of social interaction and language's mediating role. Despite their differences, these two theories complement each other, providing a comprehensive perspective for understanding children's cognitive development.

Keywords: Cognitive Development, Piaget, Vygotsky, Social Interaction, Child Psychology

1. Introduction

Classic theoretical frameworks in developmental psychology have laid the foundation for understanding children's cognitive development, among which Jean Piaget's cognitive development theory and Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory have exerted an indelible influence. Proposed in the early 20th century, these two theories, proposed in the early 20th century, have shaped different research paradigms and educational concepts with their unique perspectives, and their ideas still occupy a central position in contemporary children's cognitive development research [1].

Although Piaget's and Vygotsky's theories have been widely studied and frequently cited, existing research on their comparison often focuses on a single dimension—such as the role of social interaction or the relationship between language and thinking—and lacks a systematic and critical integration of their theoretical foundations, core viewpoints, empirical support, and practical applications. In addition, many comparative studies neglect the contemporary adaptability of the two theories, failing to fully analyze how their viewpoints can explain the cognitive development of children in diverse modern social and cultural contexts [2-4]. Against this background, this paper

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

aims to enrich the comparative research of the two theories and improve the systematic analysis framework of their theoretical application in contemporary cognitive development research. Its core purpose is to systematically compare Piaget's cognitive development theory and Vygotsky's sociocultural theory from multiple core dimensions, sort out the empirical evidence supporting each theory, analyze their respective limitations, and explore their contemporary value and practical significance. To ensure the comprehensiveness and authority of this paper, the selected literature mainly comprises high-quality academic works and journal articles published in the past 30 years, covering theoretical interpretations, empirical studies and comparative papers related to Piaget's and Vygotsky's theories [3].

2. Theoretical foundations of Piaget's and Vygotsky's perspectives

2.1. Foundations of Piaget's cognitive development theory

Jean Piaget's cognitive development theory is rooted in a combination of biological adaptation theory and constructivist epistemology. Piaget argued that human cognition is not passively acquired from the external environment but actively constructed through the individual's interaction with the environment. Biologically, he viewed cognitive development as an adaptive process driven by two complementary mechanisms: assimilation and accommodation. Assimilation refers to integrating new information into existing cognitive structures, while accommodation involves adjusting existing cognitive structures to adapt to new information [4]. Epistemologically, Piaget advocated a constructivist viewpoint, emphasizing that individuals actively explore and interpret the world around them, and cognitive structures gradually develop and mature in the process. A core tenet of his theory is that cognitive development is inherently spontaneous and stage-based: children's cognition progresses through a fixed sequence of stages, unaffected by external social or cultural factors [5].

2.2. Foundations of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory

In contrast to Piaget's theory, Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory is based on sociological and historical materialism, focusing on the influence of social and cultural factors on children's cognitive development [6]. The core assumption of his theory is that social interaction precedes cognitive development; higher mental functions like logical thinking, and problem solving first appear in the social interaction between individuals and then gradually internalize into individual mental processes. Cultural tools, especially language, are the core intermediaries of cognitive development. Vygotsky divided language into social language and private speech; private speech is a transitional form from social language to internal speech, which plays an important regulatory role in children's cognitive development and problem solving process [7].

2.3. Preliminary comparison of theoretical foundations

A preliminary comparison of the theoretical foundations of the two theories reveals obvious differences in their core orientations. Piaget's theory centers on the individual, emphasizing personal initiative in cognitive development and the decisive role of biological factors and independent exploration. Consequently, its theoretical foundation is individualistic and biological in orientation. In contrast, Vygotsky's theory takes social interaction as the core, emphasizing the decisive role of social and cultural factors and social interaction in cognitive development; this difference in theoretical foundations directly leads to the two theories holding different viewpoints on key issues

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

such as the origin of cognitive development, the role of social interaction, and the relationship between language and thinking, laying the foundation for the in-depth comparison of their core viewpoints in the following sections.

3. Comparative analysis of core views on children's cognitive development

3.1. The origin of cognitive development

The two theories hold fundamentally different views on the origin of children's cognitive development.

Piaget firmly believed that the origin of cognitive development lies in the active interaction between the individual and the physical environment. For example, in Piaget's classic object permanence experiment, he found that infants gradually realize that objects still exist even when they are out of sight, which is the result of infants' active exploration of the physical environment [8]. However, Piaget's framework faces a fundamental difficulty: if cognition originates entirely from individual interaction with the physical world, how can uniquely human and highly abstract symbolic systems such as mathematics and formal language be sufficiently derived from concrete sensorimotor experiences?

Vygotsky, on the other hand, asserted that the origin of cognitive development lies in social interaction. He believed that children's cognitive development is not driven by individual exploration alone but is shaped by social interaction with more capable others, such as parents, teachers, and peers. These more capable others provide guidance and support for children's cognitive development, helping them master knowledge, skills, and ways of thinking that they cannot master independently. Vygotsky's idea of the zone of proximal development (ZPD) encapsulates this perspective; the ZPD denotes the disparity between a child's actual developmental level (the capacity to handle problems autonomously) and potential developmental level (the capacity to solve problems with assistance) [6]. According to Vygotsky, cognitive development occurs precisely in this zone, and social interaction is the core driving force for narrowing this gap and promoting cognitive development [9]. Yet Vygotsky's perspective entails an inherent theoretical difficulty: by overemphasizing social interaction as the origin of cognition, it fails to adequately explain the innate biological and individual cognitive foundations that enable children to absorb, understand, and internalize external social experiences.

3.2. The role of social interaction and language

The differences between the two theories on the role of social interaction and language are closely related to their views on the origin of cognitive development.

For Piaget, social interaction plays a secondary role in cognitive development, and he does not distinguish between specific forms of social interaction such as peer interaction and adult-child guidance, treating all forms as a homogeneous trigger for cognitive conflict. He posited that social interaction triggers cognitive conflict: when children's existing structures cannot account for others' views or behaviors, such conflict arises, prompting them to adjust these structures and thereby advancing cognitive development. However, Piaget emphasized that social interaction only becomes effective once children reach a certain cognitive stage, incapable of bypassing the inherent developmental sequence [10]. In terms of the relationship between language and thinking, Piaget believed that thinking precedes language: children first form thinking through interaction with the

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

physical environment, and language is only a tool to express thinking, a product of cognitive development. Thus, language reflects rather than shapes cognitive development.

Vygotsky, by contrast, regarded social interaction as the core driving force of cognitive development, and clearly differentiated between its specific forms—adult scaffolding guidance, peer collaborative interaction, and language as a mediating cultural tool—each with distinct functions in cognitive development. For Vygotsky, social interaction constitutes not merely a trigger but the very foundation of cognitive development, wherein higher mental functions like logical thinking take shape. In social interaction, more capable others use language and other cultural tools to guide children, helping them internalize external social experiences into individual mental processes. As the paramount cultural tool, language mediates cognitive development decisively and serves as the core carrier of all social interaction. Vygotsky believed that language and thinking are interdependent. Language is not only a tool for expressing thinking, but also a tool for shaping thinking. Private speech, that is, self-talk, is a key transitional form—children use private speech to regulate their own behaviors and thinking, and as they grow up, private speech gradually internalizes into internal speech, becoming an important tool for individual thinking [6]. Research has shown that children with more private speech have better problem solving abilities, which supports Vygotsky's view on the role of language [11].

3.3. The stages and continuity of cognitive development

Language is analyzed here as both a cultural tool for communication and a medium for higher-order thinking, reflecting its dual role in Vygotsky's theory. Stage is one of the core characteristics of Piaget's cognitive development theory. Piaget divided children's cognitive development into four distinct and irreversible stages: sensorimotor stage (0-2 years old), preoperational stage (2-7 years old), concrete operational stage (7-11 years old), and formal operational stage (11 years old and above). Each stage has unique cognitive characteristics. For instance, sensorimotor children rely on sensory and motor activities to comprehend the world; preoperational children exhibit symbolic thinking yet lack logical operations and conservation concepts; concrete operational children demonstrate preliminary logic and conservation understanding but remain limited to concrete contexts [12]. Piaget believed that all children's cognitive development follows this sequence of stages, and the transition is determined by the maturity of cognitive structures and individual exploration, which is universal.

Vygotsky firmly opposed Piaget's stage theory of cognitive development. He believed that cognitive development is a continuous, dynamic process rather than a discontinuous stage process. The development of children's cognition is affected by various factors such as social and cultural environment, social interaction, and individual differences, and there is no fixed, universal stage sequence. Vygotsky argued that the development of cognitive abilities such as problem solving skills is not determined by the inherent stage of the individual but by the social and cultural environment and the guidance of others. For example, children from different cultural backgrounds may show different cognitive development characteristics because they are exposed to different social interactions and cultural tools [13]. Vygotsky's view emphasizes the variability and plasticity of cognitive development, which is quite different from Piaget's view of fixed stages.

3.4. Differences and commonalities

A comprehensive comparison reveals three fundamental divergences between the two theories' core viewpoints. Firstly, in the origin of cognitive development, Piaget foregrounds individual-physical

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

environment interaction, whereas Vygotsky privileges social interaction. Secondly, in the role of social interaction and language, Piaget regards them as auxiliary factors and products of cognitive development, while Vygotsky regards them as core driving forces and mediating tools. Third, in the form of cognitive development, Piaget advocates stage and universality, while Vygotsky advocates continuity and variability. Despite these divergences, the theories converge on three critical points: both construe children as active agents rather than passive recipients of information; both acknowledge the environment's formative role—albeit diverging on its nature; both have established foundational frameworks for cognitive development research and educational practice [1].

4. Empirical support and limitations of the two theories

4.1. Empirical support and limitations of Piaget's theory

Piaget's cognitive development theory has received extensive empirical support since its proposal. Many classic experiments have verified the stage characteristics of children's cognitive development proposed by Piaget. For example, conservation experiments—involving liquid or clay—demonstrate that children under 7 fail to recognize that quantity remains unchanged when shape is altered, whereas older children gradually master this concept, supporting Piaget's stage theory [12]. In addition, studies on object permanence have also shown that infants' understanding of object permanence gradually develops with age, which is consistent with Piaget's description of the sensorimotor stage. However, Piaget's theory also has obvious limitations. Firstly, he underestimated the cognitive ability of young children. Subsequent research reveals that infants and young children possess more advanced cognitive abilities than Piaget posited. For example, Baillargeon used a violation-of-expectation paradigm in the research, and found that infants as young as 4 months old have a preliminary understanding of object permanence, which is earlier than Piaget's proposed 8-12 months old [14]. Secondly, Piaget ignored the important influence of social and cultural factors on cognitive development, overemphasizing the role of individual exploration. Thirdly, his stage theory is too rigid, ignoring the individual differences and plasticity of cognitive development.

4.2. Empirical support and limitations of Vygotsky's theory

Vygotsky's sociocultural theory has also received rich empirical support, especially in the research on the role of social interaction and language. Studies on the zone of proximal development have shown that children can solve more difficult problems with the guidance of adults or peers, and this guidance can effectively promote the development of children's cognitive abilities [9]. Private speech research further reveals that self-directed verbalization assists children in regulating behavior and cognition; moreover, its developmental trajectory correlates strongly with enhanced problem-solving capabilities [11], corroborating Vygotsky's position on language's mediational role. In addition, cross-cultural studies have shown that children from different cultural backgrounds have different cognitive development characteristics due to differences in social interaction and cultural tools, which also supports Vygotsky's emphasis on the role of social and cultural factors [13]. However, Vygotsky's theory also has certain limitations. Firstly, the theory's relative abstraction and the lack of clear, operational measurement standards for many concepts pose significant challenges for empirical research. Secondly, he overemphasized the role of social and cultural factors, ignoring the initiative of the individual and the influence of biological factors on cognitive development.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

4.3. Comparative evaluation of empirical validity

A comparative evaluation of the empirical validity of the two theories shows that both have their own advantages and applicable scenarios. Piaget's theory is more suitable for explaining the development of children's basic cognitive abilities such as object permanence, and its stage theory has certain universality in explaining the overall development trend of children's cognition. Empirical research grounded in Piaget's theory has established foundational insights into the fundamental principles of children's cognitive development. Vygotsky's theory, on the other hand, is more suitable for explaining the influence of social interaction, language, and social and cultural factors on children's cognitive development, especially the development of higher mental functions. The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) concept offers critical guidance for comprehending cognitive plasticity and devising educational interventions [2]. Recent scholarship confirms that the complementary relationship between these theories furnishes a more comprehensive analytical framework for contemporary research on diverse children's cognitive development, thereby offsetting the limitations of single-theory approaches [15].

5. Conclusion

This paper systematically compares Piaget's and Vygotsky's theories, finding their core differences. Piaget focuses on individual environment interaction and cognitive stage, while Vygotsky emphasizes social interaction and cultural influence. Despite differences, both recognize children's active role in cognitive development and provide important theoretical support for education and research, with complementary empirical validity. The paper is limited by the scope of literature analysis and lacks empirical data verification of the integrated application of the two theories in actual educational scenarios; in addition, the analysis of the two theories is mostly focused on general childhood cognitive development, and the targeted discussion for specific age groups and different cognitive domains is insufficient. Based on the theories and this paper's limitations, future research can explore the interaction mechanism between individual biological factors and social cultural factors in cognitive development, verify the adaptability of the two classic theories in the digital age with empirical research, and conduct more in-depth cross-cultural studies combined with specific age groups and cognitive domains to provide more targeted and practical guidance for children's cognitive development research and practice.

References

[1] Lourenço, O. (2012). Piaget and Vygotsky: Many resemblances, and a crucial difference. New ideas in psychology, 30(3), 281-295.
[2] Gauvain, M. (2001). The social context of cognitive development. Guilford Press.
[3] PINE, J. M. (2005). TOMASELLO, M., Constructing a language: a usage-based theory of language acquisition. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2003. Pp. 388. Hardback, £ 29.95. ISBN 0-674-01030-2. Journal of Child Language, 32(3), 697-702.
[4] Piaget, J., & Cook, M. (1952). The origins of intelligence in children (Vol. 8, No. 5, pp. 18-1952). New York: International universities press.
[5] Inhelder, B., & Piaget, J. (2013). The growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence: An essay on the construction of formal operational structures. Routledge.
[6] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes (Vol. 86). Harvard university press.
[7] Wertsch, J. V. (1988). Vygotsky and the social formation of mind. Harvard university press.
[8] Piaget, J. (2013). The construction of reality in the child. Routledge.
[9] Rogoff, B. (1990). Apprenticeship in thinking: Cognitive development in social context. Oxford university press.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.ZJU32329

[10] Piaget, J. (2005). Language and Thought of the Child: Selected Works vol 5. Routledge.
[11] Berk, L. E., & Spuhl, S. T. (1995). Maternal interaction, private speech, and task performance in preschool children. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 10(2), 145-169.
[12] Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (2008). The psychology of the child. Basic books.
[13] Cole, M. (1998). Can cultural psychology help us think about diversity?. Mind, culture, and activity, 5(4), 291-304.
[14] Baillargeon, R. (1987). Object permanence in 3½-and 4½-month-old infants. Developmental psychology, 23(5), 655.
[15] Tosolini KE, Damen S, Janssen MJ and Minnaert AEMG (2025) A Piagetian lens on cognitive development of children and youths with congenital deafblindness: a scoping review.