Proceeding of ICSPHS 2026 Symposium: Critical Perspectives on Global Education and Psychological Development
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

Redefining the Boundaries of Art Education: The Role and Challenges of AIGC in Visual Arts Education

Yuxuan Luo

Department of Education, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, USA
Regina_Luo@126.com

Abstract. This paper explores how Artificial Intelligence Generated Content (AIGC) reshapes visual arts education in both supportive and challenging ways. On one hand, AIGC enhances teaching, creation, and assessment by offering new tools for efficiency, experimentation, and feedback. It helps teachers design more engaging courses, allows students to visualize ideas instantly, and provides data-informed evaluations that guide improvement. On the other hand, the growing dependence on AI tools brings ethical and pedagogical concerns, such as reduced originality and overreliance on technology. These challenges raise questions about the teacher's role, students' creative independence, and how to preserve artistic intention in a digital age. Through literature review and case analysis, the paper argues that AIGC should be viewed not as a replacement for art education but as a means to expand its boundaries. It invites educators and learners to rethink how creativity, reflection, and human expression can coexist with machine intelligence. Ultimately, the goal is to build an educational environment where AIGC becomes a partner for creative learning rather than a shortcut that limits imagination.

Keywords: AIGC, Visual Arts Education, Educational Transformation

1. Introduction

Visual Arts Education: Visual arts education is a type of education that helps develop students' artistic skills, aesthetics, and creativity through visual media such as painting, drawing, printmaking, photography, design, and sculpture. Visual arts are a way to express ideas and make knowledge by using pictures, colors, and how things are placed in space to show what something means and help people learn about it. In art schools and universities all over the world, like the China Academy of Art, the Central Academy of Fine Arts, Parsons School of Design, and Rhode Island School of Design, visual arts education places great emphasis on studio-based learning and foundation-training. The Experimental and Foundation Studies (EFS) Program at RISD and the emphasis of drawing, color, and composition at the Central Academy of Fine Arts both indicate the shared values with hands-on practice. They create strong academic traditions that can comprehensively nurture students' professional skills, aesthetic experience, and the ability to make judgments.

Recently, many art schools are starting to add digital technological components as well as artificial intelligence into the classroom. The Central Academy fine art created an artificial intelligence laboratory to look for new ways of artistic creation, whereas Parsons school of design

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

and the MIT media lab introduced interdisciplinary courses linking AI with design and computational arts. Together, they show how AIGC (Artificial intelligence generated Content) is changing the way art education happens, spurring people to think more creatively without being held back by frameworks and helping to build up the skills that connect digital technologies with artistic practice.

Among many emerging technologies, AIGC has become one of the fastest-developing fields with the greatest potential impact on art education. According to the Artificial Intelligence Development Report (2024) by the China Academy of Information and Communications Technology, "the global artificial intelligence industry reached a scale of 623.3 billion USD in 2024, with a year-on-year growth of 21.5%," driven significantly by the rapid advancement of AIGC (China Academy of Information and Communications Technology, 2024) [1]. AIGC employs deep learning models to learn patterns from massive datasets and generate new images, texts, audio, or video content. Compared with traditional digital tools, its key advantage lies in producing high-quality results from natural language prompts, greatly lowering the technical threshold for complex creative processes. Representative tools such as ChatGPT, DALL·E, and Midjourney have been widely adopted in illustration design, 3D modeling, and video compositing. These applications are no longer confined to professional art institutions, they are now also being applied in art organizations, youth art education programs, and international competitions.

AIGC continuously extends its functions in art creation and education, bringing AIGC into the visual arts curriculum is an inevitable topic. In visual artistic education, it not only advocates for technical training, but also independent thinking, critical judgment, and multi-media creativity. Under such circumstances, we have to look into the educational benefits of AIGC Generative AI widens the boundary of creative work, offering students immediate feedback and a great variety of options and materials, and stimulates students' artistic thinking and inspiration. And also, can promote updates in teaching methods to make it easier for teachers to organize multimodal classes, increase interaction in class, and be adaptable to other disciplines. Also, a new kind of assessment tools with AIGC, using data-informed feedback so that both teachers and students can understand how much things have improved and what kind of creativity is present. Therefore, within the context of visual arts education around the world, generative AI is both a future driving force for creativity, teaching, and evaluating. It also brings up new requirements for curriculum design, ethics, and teaching goals.

2. The reshaping role of AIGC in visual arts education

AIGC's change in role in visual art education mainly manifests in three aspects: teaching, creating, and evaluation. It alters not only how the classroom is arranged, but also expands the creative options for students and the methods teachers use when evaluating, thus injecting a new element into art education.

2.1. Teaching support for enhancing classroom efficiency and personalized learning

AIGC takes on a helpful part in teaching. It provides ideas for course design and produces example images, cases, and instructions to improve course design and classroom instruction management. It can enable teachers to quickly create suitable teaching resources under limited time. At the same time, AICG can also generate different learning content according to the level and interest of students, layer-by-layer instruction. A specific classroom setting where it can be depicted by three aspects, image, colors, and space.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

The first thing is at the image level, the most important use of AIGC in children's literature is to produce illustrations, storyboards, and scenes in images for teaching and discussion. For example, when teaching The Wizard of Oz, AI could translate text into a 3d animation with music and other senses to reinforce students' view of the scenes and characters. In addition to these, AI also instantly creates many versions of the same story part, but in different ways or more details than visualizations, this will allow teachers to lead students for contrast and analysis to improve their sense of seeing and thus the image generation is not only for the direct support of the teaching, but also helps to inspire the student's observation and understanding.

Second, on the color level, AIGC has a distinct ability to support color learning. AI and AR color perception training system for elementary students, which uses dynamic combination of the 3 main colors (red, blue, yellow), black, and white, greatly enhances color discrimination, painting skills, and imagination [2]. Also shown by the empirical research that AI-aided color analysis in higher-ed oil painting courses has better results in color use, richness and feeling expression($p<0.05$), [3] which suggests that AIGC applications in color study can improve students' sensitivity and expression ability in different learning stages and provide personalized guidance for color teaching.

Third, Spatially, with AI-assisted drawing technology, learners can get intuitive composition and environment simulation of interior design, architectural design, and landscape design, understanding the spatial layer and structure. Within the virtual space, AI can quickly create an enticing cyber world or game world that is open to exploration and discussion. And there will also be research that points out that AI helps with the display designing and the layout as well. AI can automatically generate and optimize display plans which allow students to perceive the relationships of artwork as well as the spatial movement of the artwork while enhancing their spatial knowledge. So as AIGC can provide visual support on the spatial aspect, making it easy for users to be understand and apply spatial knowledge from real and virtual space, as well as perspective to curatorial layout.

In short, AIGC is more about being a teacher that makes the classroom more efficient and better for the visualization level. It provides assistance through application by using image color and space, making students have an easy way of perceiving as well as expressing artistic content, and makes teaching teachers flexible through efficient ways. For visual arts education, this can bring about teaching changes and improve the learning experience.

2.2. A creation tool that accelerates work and expands creative thinking

The most immediate role of AIGC is as a creative tool: AIGC can reduce the threshold for working with various media. It will help students get rid of creative blockages, enhance their expressiveness and enrich their ways of thinking artistically. In visual art education, by the immediacy of its generation and interactivity, it allows students to break through the bottleneck of image language, color composition, and spatial design.

AIGC is usually used by teachers to help students draw reference images. Through keyword search or uploading a draft, students will gain a multitude of visual solutions. Making it easier for amateurs to start creating, so don't have to start from zero and build up courage to experiment again. AIGC can also quickly create many style or composition changes: comparing these results can help the student have a better understanding of design elements and visuals. This process of trial and comparison continues until the curiosity is aroused and the ability to be innovative and solve problems.

In further case studies, at Ringling College of Art and Design in the U.S., courses like Generative Art and Algorithms and Human-Machine Collaborative Design require students to create image series and animations using AIGC, as well as some interactive installation projects. Their work led

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

to interactive work that got labeled as winners and short films that mixed AI-generated textures with hand drawn animation, plus stuff that caught pro eyes outside of their classroom. From this, AIGC can coexist harmoniously alongside the students' creative process and allows for novel and viable outcomes to be born even before these students graduate.

As for color design training, there is more direct exploration and creation with it. It can produce many different palettes and schemes instantly, like value contrast, warm-cool pairing, and complementary pairing, which makes students have a preliminary understanding of these effects. "The value of AIGC lies in generating and comparing multiple options that help students understand the relationship between design elements and outcomes." [4] This also applies to color teaching, students should first use AI to generate many different color palettes to generate, then use AI to adjust and adjust the colors to generate, and then use AI to compare them and understand the differences through comparison.

Nowadays, image generation and editing applications like Meitu, Xingtu, and Light Beauty Camera can mimic a variety of artistic looks and styles with the snap of your fingers, whether it is Korean illustration, Chinese ink drawing, or Monet's impressionism. Students simply upload an image or sketch to see all the different styles change, and also compare the mood and visual effect of colors. Likewise, tools like ChatGPT Sora, Midjourney, DALL·E, Stable Diffusion, and Adobe Firefly enable users to produce a particular kind through text. For example, Hayao Miyazaki's animations have soft tones, natural light, and hand- drawing style with warmth, cyberpunk uses bright and high- saturation neon colors with metallic and high- contrast to create a futuristic atmosphere, Van Gogh's paintings use swirling brushstrokes and textures to express emotion. These different examples let the students see how the colors and what you put where works for different pictures and also encourage them to try using other styles and express themselves.

AIGC helps students understand spatial relationships better as it provides a large number of layout solutions that enhance spatial expression. Also, it is possible to integrate it with a VR so that the user can change the composition inside the 3D environment and intuitively get to know the spatial order, and view. Not only expands imagination beyond two-dimension, but also cultivates critical thinking and creativity via comparison. AIGC in a two-dimensional layout and composition can quickly make personal space. AI applies smart layout algorithm, it can locate and analyze those visual elements structural hierarchy and give some layout choice, including symmetrical or asymmetrical, also grid-based form. Comparing these designs allows students to learn about hierarchy and negative space as well as visual flow, and helps them get a sense of space in two-dimensions. It is with this dual support from a 3D virtual environment in 2D layout design that students can exercise their spatial thinking from many different angles, forming their own styles over time.

In summary, AIGC is a technology tool for visual art education and an artistic concept tool. It breaks down technical barriers and expands creative thinking. At an image level, it allows for rapid generation and comparison of ideas. At a color level, it allows for more perceptual and stylistic exploration. Finally at a spatial level, it enhances understanding of composition and visual space. The instant creation and multiplicity help AIGC improve the students' creative production speed, and at the same time inspire the students to do some experiments and innovation with the visual language, style and spatial structure.

2.3. Evaluation mechanisms that strengthen learning feedback

When it comes to visual arts education, we can see that the old method of having teachers judge and comment based on their own subjective feelings has shifted towards the innovative use of AIGC to

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

give immediate, objective, and personalized feedback.

Generative AI can act as a “virtual instructor” in the class, answering students' questions, and generating demonstrations images and customized exercises that improve learning performance by a lot. For example, when it comes to art and design classes, students could look up to AI right away for suggestions on how to compose a picture or color scheme. Then create visual references according to each student’s learning level and style preference, making it easier for students to learn design rules faster, and produce it. Interactive feedback makes learning go from something that’s just passively received into something that people actively explore.

And it can even analyze students’ artwork using algorithm and instantly tell the student how lines, light, composition, and balance look like, it can find problems like wrong proportion, wrong light direction, and give suggestions like change perspective, improve color layers. To take an example, if students upload a sketch, the AI system gives a teacher suggestion that can help her guide a discussion on color, structure, and space, so as to target improvements. This is a dynamic feedback process giving the students immediate guidance as well as developing observational and self-correcting abilities.

Many scholars have confirmed AIGC’s role in improving learning motivation, optimizing creative processes, and developing self-evaluation skills in art education. A GAN-based educational support system designed to offer real-time stylistic feedback, encouraging students to explore their creative process, more freely and develop stronger critical evaluate and self-improvement skills [5]. This demonstrates that AI feedback is not merely evaluative but encourages continuous experimentation and improvement. Its objectivity has also been validated: in a study involving undergraduate art students, AI-based evaluation improved creative output quality by 35.4% and increased engagement by 42.7%. Similarly, research at Rutgers University emphasized the personalized and motivational value of AIGC tools, which offer inspiration, feedback, and even alternative creative pathways that help students reflect on and refine their work.

In conclusion, AIGC does not replace teachers’ judgment but supplements and expands their evaluative perspectives. It promotes a shift in art education from static, one-time grading toward dynamic, process-oriented, and personalized assessment. For higher visual arts education, this represents both a breakthrough from traditional evaluation models and an essential innovation for the classrooms of the future.

3. Challenges and crises of AIGC in visual arts education

3.1. Teachers and the deterministic dependence on technology

The use of AIGC in teaching is transforming the role of teachers. Technological determinism refers to the idea that technological development drives social and cultural change. When education becomes overly dependent on technology, classrooms can easily be dominated by technological logic. In visual arts education, this dependence has already begun to emerge. In the past, teachers were primarily responsible for demonstrating techniques and model works. Today, content such as images, colors, and spatial compositions can be quickly generated by AI models. Students often begin their projects by generating sketches or drafts using AIGC and then asking teachers for modification advice. Over time, the rhythm of instruction, the format of assignments, and even the standards of evaluation risk revolving around the functions of tools rather than the learning objectives themselves. This shift implies that teachers must reconsider their position in the classroom.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

Therefore, teachers should maintain agency and initiative when using AIGC. Pedagogical goals should precede tool selection, rather than being driven by it. In the classroom, teachers can guide students to analyze the logic and biases within AI-generated images and to reflect on the differences between technological and human creation. In this way, AIGC becomes a tool that supports teaching rather than a force that determines its direction. In the future, schools and educational institutions should also establish clear usage guidelines and evaluation standards to ensure that AIGC is applied within legal and ethical boundaries. Teachers, meanwhile, should evolve into facilitators and learning partners who not only teach skills but also help students understand the limitations and potential of AI. Through interdisciplinary approaches that combine art and technology, courses can train students to develop artistic perception while maintaining an understanding and respect for the essence of art.

3.2. Students' overreliance and the crisis of originality

While AIGC helps the students generate new images or concepts faster, over relying on AIGC will lead to issues. Many works start to show similar styles and compositions, limiting students' thinking and imagination. Step by step familiar with it, enter prompts and wait for the result, no longer observing and modifying, and hands-on creation. Art education highlights personal expression and exploration. If students are too dependent on automatic generation, they will not pay attention to personal style and the process of trial, error, and reflection, which will weaken their own personal styles. AI-generated and student-created boundaries become less clear and evaluations grow hazy. Some will even turn in the work they generated directly, there are questions of academic honesty. And of copying the training data for AI tools and cultural biases within the tool for the student.

Therefore, the student and the teacher need to keep watchful throughout the process of creation. Students could be encouraged to label what sections of their work was completed with AI and to have in class discussions and reflections on usage of technology, let students understand the advantages of AIGC. At the same time, they will also have some understanding of human intelligence. When it comes to future art education, we need to take ethical and cultural aspects into consideration during the teaching process and require our students to consider ethical problems such as copyright, where the data is from, and how much cultural bias is in the system. We hope students can gain an awareness of responsibility and reflection when they use AIGC. Only when students truly understand and take ownership of their own creative agency can AIGC become a force that aids learning and innovation, not a shortcut that sidesteps independent thought.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the future of AIGC in visual arts education is not about "replacement" but "coexistence." It will respond to challenges while driving new modes of learning and teaching, thereby truly redrawing the boundaries of art education.

References

[1] China Academy of Information and Communications Technology. (2024). Artificial intelligence development report (2024). Beijing, China: Author.

[2] Chen, S.-Y., Lin, P.-H., & Chien, W.-C. (2022). Children's digital art ability training system based on AI-assisted learning: A case study of drawing color perception. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 823078. https: //doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.823078

[3] Wang, Y., & Ren, X. (2025). Algorithm design for optimizing color analysis and teaching effect in oil paintings with AI technology support. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 127b(1), 6099–

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.HT30196

6113. https: //doi.org/10.61091/jcmcc127b-334.
[4] Li, C. (2024). Research on the application of artificial intelligence generated content (AIGC) in art design education. Integration of Industry and Education, 6(6), 26–44. https: //doi.org/10.6938/iie.060604
[5] He, Y., & Zhang, S. (2025). Enhancing art creation through AI-based generative adversarial networks in educational auxiliary system. Scientific Reports, 15(1), 29202. https: //doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-14164-z