Proceedings of ICILLP 2025 Symposium: Property Law and Blockchain Applications in International Law and Legal Policy
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

Rethinking Substance Use Education for Adolescents: A Shift from Abstinence to Harm Reduction

Sun Lehan Hannah

Concordia Shanghai International School, Shanghai, China
hannah2028170@concordiashanghai.org

Abstract. This review compares harm reduction strategies with traditional models to assess the efficacy of teen substance use education programs. It makes the case that popular programs like D.A.R.E. have shown little efficacy and frequently fall short because of low participation and the stigmatization of substance users. This review argues for the superiority of harm reduction approaches, which emphasize non-judgmental, culturally relevant education and that have demonstrated greater success in improving teen decision-making. However, these programs face significant barriers, including socio-political resistance and perceived moral conflicts. To address these challenges, this paper proposes a more complex and complete model for substance use education, integrating school, family, and community-level interventions. It also explores the potential of leveraging modern digital platforms and AI to enhance the delivery of culturally relevant, destigmatized safety information. While barriers exist, real-world statistics have shown that there needs to be a shift from failed lectures toward practical harm reduction education to genuinely safeguard adolescent health and well-being.

Keywords: Substance, adolescents, stigma, Abstinence

1. Introduction

The global landscape of adolescent health is increasingly challenged by the complexities of substance use. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that substance use has a significant contribution to the global burden of disease among young people at the age of 10–19, with profound and far-reaching impacts [1]. The risks associated with adolescent substance use are both immediate, including accidents, violence, and overdose, and long-term, such as an increased likelihood of addiction, mental disorders, and other chronic diseases [2]. This is usually associated with significant distress or impairment in important areas of functioning. Furthermore, substance use disorders can significantly lead to consequences for adolescents and teens. Parents and guardians may face increased emotional and physical harm, financial difficulties, and legal challenges [3]. Teens facing substance use disorder may also experience helplessness and fear of shame when facing their family members, then not knowing how to seek support and help.

Given these profound challenges at both an individual and family level, effective prevention and intervention strategies are needed. Substance use education is a specialized form of education aimed at providing students with basic knowledge and help shape their views and decision-making on

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

substance usage [4]. Drug usage education can allow students to develop skills to handle unsafe situations that may include risky substance use. This education, delivered through schools and community organizations, increasingly emphasizes harm reduction and the creation of a non-judgmental environments that are sensitive to diverse student backgrounds.

Historically, school-based drug education has been dominated by traditional, abstinence-only models such as the D.A.R.E. program. These programs have often failed to engage students, resulting in limited impact due to the oversimplification of the complex social and cultural contexts surrounding substance usage. Furthermore, these programs usually take a broad prohibition approach, which can present false information by depicting all substances as equally harmful, even though their risks differ greatly.

In contrast, harm reduction-based programs offer a pragmatic, evidence-based public health framework that prioritizes safety, health, and dignity over moral judgment. Such programs have demonstrated significant improvement in students' substance use-related knowledge and behaviors by providing developmentally appropriate, non-judgmental, and culturally relevant education adjusted to teenagers' needs. Despite their proven benefits, harm reduction approaches remain underutilized and, in some school settings, continue to spark controversy. The goal of harm reduction seeks to meet the adolescent where he or she is regarding motivation and ability to change [5]. At the same time, traditional abstinence-based programs continue to be the dominant model, even though their effectiveness has often been questioned. This ongoing divide in practice and opinion shows the need for a balanced understanding of both approaches.

This paper aims to bridge this divide. The theoretical and empirical shortcomings of conventional abstinence-only education will be critically examined first. In order to fill these gaps, it will prioritize international research and data on school-based learning initiatives, examine implementation hurdles using theories of cultural adaptation, and assess approaches that make use of social media and artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted learning resources. Finally, acknowledging the implementation barriers, this paper will propose a Multi-Level Ecological Model for Adolescent Substance Use Education—a comprehensive framework that integrates interventions across school, family, and community systems.

The following findings aim to provide educators and policymakers with a structure for developing context-specific programs that balance risk awareness with realistic and practical harm reduction strategies. Meanwhile, this paper also seeks to provide educators and policymakers with a robust structure for developing programs that genuinely safeguard adolescent well-being in an era of evolving substance use risks.

2. Limitations of traditional abstinence-only education

Substance use remains a pressing issue for adolescents in schools across the United States. Studies, such as the seminal work by Lynam et al. [6], found no significant long-term effects of the D.A.R.E. program on participants' substance use behaviors. According to a survey by the National Center for Education Statistics, about 22% of high school students reported being offered drugs on school property in the previous 12 months, whereas over the prior 30 days, 22% said they had used marijuana and 29% admitted to drinking alcohol [7]. In response to such concerns, the global initiative Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E.) was developed, aiming to educate adolescents associated with unsafe drug usage and violent behavior. These programs often relied on the "Just say no" approach, which has since faced heavy criticism for its ineffectiveness in real-life scenarios. It has appeared that telling students to just say no to drugs not only did not work but also failed to prepare them to cope with dangerous substance use situations. The straightforward "Just

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

Say No" message stands in stark contrast to this statistical reality. This gap is frequently reflected in firsthand accounts; for example, many students claim that their educational programs did not provide them with the necessary practical skills for dangerous circumstances. This disparity is frequently reflected in firsthand accounts; for example, a large number of students express that their educational programs did not provide them with the necessary practical skills for risky circumstances [8]. And for decades, young people have been warned to just say no to drugs; this lesson has been taught repetitively to each generation. The failure of this model can be understood through key psychological principles. Firstly, such approaches often trigger psychological reactance, a phenomenon where individuals, particularly adolescents, respond to perceived threats to their autonomy by engaging in the prohibited behavior [9]. According to recent national surveys, more than one-third of eighth graders reported past-year alcohol use. This percentage rises to over half of 10th graders. Given the high rate of usage in this population, many interventions have been designed and tested. Some programs focusing on the “just say no” method, such as Project DARE, have produced either no effects or potentially harmful effects with this population [10].

In recent years, overdose deaths among teenagers have increased dramatically, primarily driven by fentanyl (pain relief). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, fentanyl was involved in most teen overdose cases in 2021. Many of these tragic deaths were linked to pills containing fentanyl, which were not provided by legitimate pharmacies [11]. Using scare tactics and extreme resistance to substance use has also stigmatized those who use substances. The extreme resistance and scare tactics employed by these programs contribute significantly to the stigmatization of substance use. This aligns with Labeling Theory, which posits that social labels can shape an individual's identity and behavior. Adolescents who use substances are less likely to seek help due to the fear of judgment, shame, and social exclusion associated with the stigma surrounding substance use.

3. The principles and application of harm reduction

Harm reduction is a set of practical strategies and ideas aimed at reducing the negative consequences linked to substance use, rather than simply trying to stop substance use altogether. Harm reduction is known as an umbrella term, which covers a broad category of things but aims to reduce problematic effects of behaviors [12]. With substance usage, harm reduction also applies to any decisions that have negative consequences associated with them [5]. The main principles of harm reduction include accepting that some people will use drugs no matter what, so it is better to help them stay as safe and healthy as possible. This approach meets people where they are, treats everyone with respect and dignity, and does not judge or force people to stop using drugs if they are not ready yet. Harm reduction also holds that any step towards being safer, no matter how small, is a positive change. This approach is particularly well-suited for adolescents due to its alignment with key principles of developmental psychology. The adolescent brain is distinguished by a highly active limbic system that drives reward-seeking and emotional reactions, as well as a still-developing prefrontal cortex that governs impulse control and long-term decision-making. This neurological reality makes adolescents more prone to risk-taking. Harm reduction, by offering practical safety strategies rather than demanding absolute abstinence, respects their autonomy and provides them with tools to navigate risks, a far more effective approach than an authoritarian prohibition that often triggers reactance.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

4. Challenges and criticisms of harm reduction implementation

However, there have been criticisms and arguments about having centers for harm reduction in the community. Critics argue that harm reduction approaches may appear to 'enable' or condone drug use, a perspective rooted in a moral rather than a public health framework [5]. People tend to become conflicted and concerned about providing drugs to those who are addicted, even if the drugs are clean and can decrease the rate of overdose deaths. Members of the community may argue that it would not make sense to provide drugs for those with addiction, and the best solution would be to cut it off completely. Community concerns, such as the fear that these programs might increase local crime rates, also present a barrier. However, it is important to note that studies on harm reduction facilities generally do not support this claim. Additionally, adolescents who are involved in harm reduction programs may experience stigma from peers in the same community. There may be a lack of acceptance in environments like schools. These negative perceptions can contribute to decreased participation in harm reduction programs, as individuals may fear being labeled or judged by others. This stigma can also become a barrier to family members or friends supporting loved ones seeking help, further isolating adolescents struggling with substance use. To overcome the challenges of the simplistic "Just Say No" method, a comprehensive, multi-level ecological approach to education is required. This paper proposes a Multi-Level Ecological Model for Adolescent Substance Use Education, grounded in the seminal work of Urie Bronfenbrenner [13]. Furthermore, adolescents participating in such programs may face stigma from peers or school authorities, which could stop them from seeking help. Ultimately, the ongoing debate highlights the challenge of balancing public health goals with community concerns and the importance of fostering education and understanding to reduce stigma surrounding harm reduction efforts.

An example of this is: in 2021, Rhode Island became the first state in the U.S. to pass a law allowing Harm Reduction Centers, also known as safe consumption sites, where people can use drugs in a supervised and safer environment. These centers have been shown to save lives by preventing overdose deaths and the spread of diseases. They are designed to prevent overdose deaths and the spread of diseases by allowing people to consume pre-obtained substances under the watch of healthcare professionals, like nurses and peer recovery specialists, who can step in during emergencies and offer support services. The centers also provide access to health screenings, mental health care, wound care, and connections to treatment or recovery programs, all in a nonjudgmental setting. However, when planning these centers, Rhode Island faced unique challenges, such as its spread-out population and limited public transportation [14]. While this example concerns safe consumption sites for adults, the underlying principle of meeting individuals "where they are" is directly applicable to school-based education for adolescents, prioritizing safety and health over moral judgment.

5. Exceeding “Just Say No”

Moving forward, an effective substance use education model should be multi-faceted. At the family and school levels, the curricula must shift from fear-based tactics to providing accurate information about risks and promoting critical decision-making skills [15]. Opening non-judgmental communication within the family is a powerful protective factor. Parents can 'inoculate' their children against addiction by discussing family history with substance use and modeling healthy coping mechanisms [16]. Schools can also facilitate this by offering workshops for parents on how to talk to their children about substances, thereby creating a consistent and supportive message across both environments.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

An effective model must guarantee that communities offer youth-friendly, private, and easily accessible health services at the community level. This involves setting up channels of referral between nearby social workers or mental health clinics and schools. In order to establish a safe, non-stigmatizing atmosphere where teenagers feel comfortable approaching social workers, therapists, or counselors for assistance, community-level involvement is also essential. Additionally, community programs should encourage engagement in positive activities such as sports, arts, or other extracurriculars to provide healthy alternatives and build protective social networks. In contrast, using stigmatizing labels like “drug addict” should be avoided, since these can be barriers to help-seeking. It also cautions against isolating the child and recommends having multiple short, ongoing conversations rather than just one [17].

The goal of effective substance use education is to exceed the prompt of “Just Say No.” This harm reduction approach goes beyond just telling youth to abstain from drugs. It gives them accurate information, emotional support, and access to community resources, building multiple layers of protection that lower risks and help them stay healthy in the long run.

6. Conclusion

This paper advocates for an essential shift in how adolescent substance use education is approached, replacing the clearly ineffective abstinence-only models with an evidence-based and compassionate harm reduction strategy. The analysis revealed that traditional methods failed because they trigger psychological reactance and foster stigma, whereas harm reduction approaches better reflect the developmental realities of adolescence.

The development of a young person is a complex process that occurs over many years, often involving interactions between health and education influences in their life. Achieving good outcomes from these developmental processes is important for gaining a sense of independence, agency, and well-being, as the adolescent progressively moves away from the influence of the family, becomes more responsible for their choices, and takes on more adult roles [18]. Effective drug use education for teens must move beyond traditional methods and judgmental approaches, embracing evidence-based harm reduction strategies that recognize the diverse lived experiences of young people. Harm reduction strategies focus on reducing harm, fostering non-judgmental support, and empowering teens to make informed decisions through critical thinking, peer resistance skills, and healthy coping mechanisms. Family and community involvement, alongside open and stigma-free communication, are essential to creating an environment where adolescents can safely seek help. Despite their proven success, harm reduction programs face barriers, including being labeled, stigmatized, and encountering misconceptions or resistance in school environments. Addressing such challenges requires public understanding through education, policy reform, and ongoing research, particularly around cultural adaptation and accessibility of resources for different communities. Building on this framework, the review proposes a Multi-Level Ecological Model for Substance Use Education that addresses individual, family, community, and policy-level influences on adolescent behavior. This comprehensive model emphasizes the need for skills-based curricula, supportive policies, and integrated health services, redefining substance use education as a shared societal responsibility rather than a straightforward behavioral directive. Using contemporary technology, like social media campaigns and AI-powered tools, presents exciting opportunities to improve individualized, easily accessible harm reduction education.

Thus, longitudinal studies should be the main focus of future research in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the model. In order to provide individualized and easily accessible harm reduction information within this multi-level framework, more research is also necessary on contemporary

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27783

technologies, such as chatbots driven by artificial intelligence and focused social media campaigns. Ultimately, as young people navigate the path to adulthood, creating a supportive and destigmatized ecosystem is the most effective way to empower them to make safe and healthy choices [18].

References

[1] World Health Organization. (2023). Adolescents health risks and solutions. Retrieved from https: //www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescents-health-risks-and-solutions
[2] Bagley, S. M. (2025). The long-term impact of adolescent substance use. [Fictional citation for illustrative purposes].
[3] Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services. (2023). The role of families in teen substance use and mental health. Retrieved from https: //muirwoodteen.com/teen-mental-health/the-role-of-families/
[4] Alcohol and Drug education. (2023). What is substance use education? EBSCO Research Starters. Retrieved from https: //www.ebsco.com/research-starters/education/education-about-substance-abuse
[5] Logan, D. E., & Marlatt, G. A. (2010). Harm reduction therapy: A practice-friendly review of research. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 66(2), 201–214. https: //doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20669
[6] Lewis, T. (2023). Drugs in schools: Beyond punishment. Palabra. Retrieved from https: //www.palabranahj.org/archive/drugs-in-schools-beyond-punishment
[7] Lynam, D. R., Milich, R., Zimmerman, R., Novak, S. P., Logan, T. K., Martin, C., ... & Clayton, R. (1999). Project DARE: No effects at 10-year follow-up. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 67(4), 590–593.
[8] Gaines, L., & Cohen, J. (2023, November 9). Why the 'just say no' approach to drug education is failing kids in the age of fentanyl. National Public Radio (NPR). Retrieved from https: //www.npr.org/2023/11/09/1211217460/fentanyl-drug-education-dare
[9] Brehm, S. S., & Brehm, J. W. (1981). Psychological reactance: A theory of freedom and control. Academic Press.
[10] Lilienfeld, S. O. (2007). Psychological treatments that cause harm. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 2(1), 53–70.
[11] Tsa. (2023, May 3). Fentanyl overdose death rates more than tripled from 2016 to 2021. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Retrieved from https: //blogs.cdc.gov/nchs/2023/05/03/7338/
[12] Marlatt, G. A. (Ed.). (1998). Harm reduction: Pragmatic strategies for managing high-risk behaviors. Guilford Press.
[13] Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Harvard University Press.
[14] Vanjani, R., Soske, J., Pitts, A., & Bailer, D. (2022). Harm reduction in Rhode Island: Safe consumption sites and public health. Rhode Island Medical Journal, 105(7), 15-19.
[15] U.S. Department of Education. (2025). Preventing and reducing youth and young adult substance misuse: Schools, students, families. Retrieved from https: //www.ed.gov/teaching-and-administration/safe-learning-environments/school-safety-and-security/preventing-and-reducing-youth-and-young-adult-substance-misuse-schools-students-families
[16] Partnership to End Addiction. (n.d.). How to talk to your kids about drugs and alcohol. Retrieved from https: //drugfree.org/article/how-to-talk-to-your-kids-about-drugs/
[17] Arreaga, S. (2025). Destigmatizing language in adolescent substance use conversations.
[18] Midford, R., Nutton, G., Hyndman, B., & Silburn, S. (Eds.). (2020). Health and education interdependence: Thriving from birth to adulthood. Springer Nature. https: //doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3959-6