Proceedings of ICILLP 2025 Symposium: Psychological Perspectives on Teacher-Student Relationships in Educational Contexts
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

Family Verbal Violence Typology and Neurolinguistic Mechanisms of Nonviolent Communication Interventions

Yutong Hu

One Direction Academy, Toronto, Canada
Emily20070430@163.com

Abstract. Family verbal violence, a pervasive but often overlooked issue, has profound impacts on psychological development and neural mechanisms. This comprehensive review examines the typology of family verbal violence and explores the neurolinguistic mechanisms through which nonviolent communication (NVC) interventions exert their positive effects. The study identifies five distinct categories of verbal violence: insult-based, depreciatory, threatening-intimidating, disdain-disgust, and complaining-pleading, each with characteristic patterns and psychological consequences. Drawing on recent advances in neurolinguistics, this paper demonstrates how verbal violence activates threat response systems in the brain (particularly the amygdala and prefrontal cortex), while NVC interventions facilitate neural plasticity and cognitive restructuring through specific language mechanisms. The four-step NVC model (observation, feeling, need, request) is analyzed as a neuroscientifically grounded framework for disrupting maladaptive communication patterns and fostering emotional regulation. The integrated analysis highlights the potential of NVC interventions to reverse the neuropsychological impacts of verbal violence, with implications for clinical practice, family education, and future research directions in psychological and linguistic fields.

Keywords: Family Verbal Violence Typology, Neurolinguistic Mechanisms, Nonviolent Communication Interventions

1. Introduction

Family verbal violence represents a widespread but often minimized form of psychological aggression that affects individuals across the lifespan. Research indicates that verbal violence against children by parents is extremely common, manifesting through insults, depreciation, threats, disdain, and complaining [1]. Despite its prevalence, it receives considerably less attention than other forms of violence, partly due to its invisible nature and cultural acceptance in some contexts.

The impact of verbal violence is particularly acute because language processing is deeply intertwined with emotional and cognitive neural networks. The brain processes negative language differently from positive language, with destructive communication activating threat response systems and triggering defensive mechanisms [2]. For young children, whose neural architecture is still developing, parental words shape their self-concept and emotional regulation [3].

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

Nonviolent Communication (NVC), developed by Marshall Rosenberg, offers a promising intervention rooted in four core components: observation, feeling, need, and request. Rather than focusing on pathology, NVC provides a practical framework for transforming communication patterns by focusing on universal human needs and empathetic connection [4]. While the effectiveness of NVC has been documented in various contexts, its specific neurolinguistic mechanisms—how it actually affects brain function and language processing in the context of family verbal violence—remain underexplored [5].

This paper examines the typology of family verbal violence and explores the neurolinguistic mechanisms through which NVC interventions facilitate change [6]. By integrating research from psychology, linguistics, and neuroscience, this study aims to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding how verbal violence affects individuals and how NVC can effectively intervene at behavioral and neural levels [7,8].

2. Typology of family verbal violence

Family verbal violence encompasses diverse communication patterns that inflict psychological harm. Based on extensive research, this paper identifies five distinct types, each with characteristic patterns, psychological impacts, and motivations [1] (Table 1).

Table 1. Types of family verbal violence and their characteristics

Type	Examples	Psychological Impact	Parental Motivation
Insults-based	"You're so stupid!" "Why are you so clumsy?"	Diminished self-worth, self-criticism, learned helplessness	To stimulate improvement through shame
Depreciatory	"You'll never amount to anything." "Don't bother trying."	Loss of security, reduced learning motivation, avoidance	To express disappointment or frustration
Threatening-intimidating	"If you don't behave, I'll abandon you." "I'll call the police!"	Anxiety, insecurity, distorted worldview	To control behavior through fear
Disdain-disgust	"I wish you were never born." "You're a burden."	Self-loathing, depression, suicidal ideation	To express rejection or resentment
Complaining-pleading	"Please stop this behavior!" "I'm begging you to behave."	Confusion, lack of boundaries, entitlement	To manipulate through guilt or victimhood

These categories rarely occur in pure form; many families exhibit blended patterns. What unites them is their destructive impact on psychological development and the parent-child relationship [1]. Understanding these patterns is essential for developing targeted interventions [9].

3. Psychological impacts and neural mechanisms

3.1. Psychological consequences

Children exposed to verbal violence often develop negative self-concepts, internalizing critical parental voices [1]. This internalization leads to enduring self-criticism, diminished self-worth, and impaired emotional regulation [2]. Verbal violence also damages the parent-child relationship, undermining secure attachment and correlating with psychopathological outcomes [3,7].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

3.2. Neurolinguistic mechanisms

Neuroimaging studies show that negative language activates the amygdala, triggering threat responses. Prolonged exposure reduces prefrontal activity, weakening cognitive control and amplifying emotional reactivity [8]. Negative words are processed more quickly and remembered more vividly—a "negativity bias" that reinforces negative patterns [2,10].

4. Nonviolent communication intervention framework

4.1. Theoretical foundation

NVC, grounded in humanistic psychology, transforms communication by focusing on universal needs and empathetic connection [4]. Its four components—observation, feeling, need, request—provide a structured approach for honest expression and empathetic listening [6].

4.2. The four-step NVC model

The NVC model comprises four distinct but interconnected steps:

4.2.1. Observation

The first step involves describing observable facts without evaluation or interpretation. For example, instead of saying "You're so messy," an NVC observation would be "There are three coffee stains on your notebook cover". This distinction between observation and evaluation is crucial because factual descriptions are less likely to trigger defensiveness than judgments or criticisms [6].

4.2.2. Feeling

The second step involves identifying and expressing emotions evoked by the observation. NVC distinguishes between actual feelings (e.g., sad, angry, scared) and thoughts or interpretations (e.g., "I feel like you don't love me"). Expressing genuine feelings involves vulnerability, which tends to invite empathy rather than defensiveness [2].

4.2.3. Need

The third step involves connecting feelings to underlying universal needs. For instance, frustration might relate to needs for efficiency or respect; sadness might relate to needs for connection or appreciation. By focusing on needs rather than strategies, NVC creates opportunities for creative problem-solving that addresses everyone's concerns [4].

4.2.4. Request

The last step is to make a clear request rather than a demand. For example, "Do you want to put the dishes in the dishwasher after you finish eating?" Rather than "Don't make such a mess." Table 2 further illustrates this approach by comparing the key elements of violent and non-violent communication patterns, such as expressing dissatisfaction, handling emotions, identifying root causes, seeking change, and resolving conflicts [9]. For instance, violent communication relies on criticism and blame, while non-violent communication emphasizes observation rather than evaluation. This contrast extends to identifying the root cause of the problem - either focusing on the

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

mistake or the need - and making requests. Ultimately, the shift from the power struggle of winning and losing to cooperative problem-solving highlights the transformative potential of nonviolent communication.

Table 2. Comparison of violent and nonviolent communication patterns

Communication Element	Violent Communication	Nonviolent Communication
Expression of discontent	Criticism, blame ("You're so lazy!")	Observation without evaluation ("I notice the trash hasn't been taken out for three days")
Handing emotions	Denial, projection, exaggeration ("You make me furious!")	Expression of authentic feelings ("I feel frustrated when...")
Identifying root issues	Focus on faults and wrongness	Focus on universal human needs ("I need order and cooperation")
Seeking change	Demands, threats ("Do this or else!")	Respectful requests ("Would you be willing to...")
Conflict resolution	Win-lose power struggles	Collaborative problem-solving

4.3. Application to family contexts

Applying NVC in family contexts requires adapting the approach to different developmental stages and relationship dynamics [8].

In parent-child conflicts, NVC offers an alternative to punitive or permissive approaches. Rather than demanding compliance or giving in, parents using NVC express their feelings and needs while empathizing with those of their children [4]. This approach models emotional literacy and respect for everyone's needs while maintaining necessary boundaries [9]. NVC also provides tools for healing historical patterns of verbal violence.

5. Neurolinguistic mechanisms of nonviolent communication interventions

5.1. Neural correlates of NVC components

Nonviolent Communication interventions appear to facilitate neural changes that counteract the impacts of verbal violence [7]. The four components of NVC—observation, feeling, need, and request—engage distinct but interconnected neural systems that promote emotional regulation and cognitive flexibility [8].

The observation component activates prefrontal regions associated with executive functions and attentional control [8]. By focusing on concrete facts, individuals engage neural circuits for objective processing.

The feeling component engages the insula and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)[2]. By labeling and articulating emotions, NVC practices enhance emotional awareness and regulation, increasing connectivity between limbic regions and prefrontal regulatory systems. This enhanced connectivity supports better integration of emotional and cognitive processing, facilitating more adaptive responses to emotional stimuli [7].

The need component activates the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and temporoparietal junction (TPJ). By focusing on universal human needs, NVC shifts cognitive frameworks from blame and judgment to understanding and empathy. This neural shift facilitates perspective-taking and reduces defensive responses, creating conditions for collaborative problem-solving [6].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

The request section involves the premotor cortex and the assisted motor area (SMA), which are involved in planning and execution. By putting forward clear requirements, individuals create specific neural representations of the expected outcome, increasing the possibility of behavioral change and thereby activating the nervous system to generate goal-oriented behavior.

5.2. Neural plasticity and language processing

NVC interventions leverage the brain's inherent neuroplasticity—its ability to reorganize structure and function in response to experience. Repeated practice of NVC patterns creates new neural pathways that gradually become automatic alternatives to habitual reactive patterns [7].

Language processing mechanisms are central to NVC's therapeutic effects [2]. The brain's left hemisphere, particularly perisylvian language regions, shows increased integration with limbic and prefrontal regions following NVC training. This enhanced integration supports more flexible responses to emotional stimuli and facilitates the use of language as a tool for regulation rather than escalation of conflict [6].

Mirror neuron systems also contribute to NVC's effectiveness. These systems, which activate both when performing actions and when observing others perform them, facilitate empathy and understanding. In NVC dialogues, mirror systems enable participants to resonate with each other's emotional states, creating neural alignment that supports mutual understanding and connection [4].

6. Discussion

6.1. Integrated perspectives on verbal violence and NVC

This integration of psychological, linguistic, and neurological perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for understanding family verbal violence and the mechanisms through which NVC interventions facilitate change. The typology of verbal violence illustrates the diverse forms that destructive communication can take, while the psychological impact research demonstrates the far-reaching consequences of these patterns. Neurolinguistic findings reveal how verbal violence affects brain structure and function, particularly in regions involved in threat detection, emotional regulation, and cognitive control. The NVC framework offers practical strategies for transforming violent communication patterns by focusing on observations, feelings, needs, and requests.

These integrated perspectives suggest that interventions for family verbal violence should address multiple levels simultaneously: behavioral patterns, cognitive frameworks, emotional processes, and neural pathways. Effective interventions likely require repeated practice to establish new neural patterns that can compete with well-established reactive responses.

6.2. Practical applications and implications

Integrating psychological, linguistic, and neurological perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for understanding verbal violence and NVC interventions. The typology illustrates diverse destructive patterns, while neurolinguistic findings reveal their brain impacts. NVC offers practical strategies for transforming communication, facilitating neural restructuring that supports emotional regulation and empathy [2,7,9].

Effective interventions should address behavioral, cognitive, emotional, and neural levels, requiring repeated practice to establish new neural patterns [7]. Applications include clinical practice, family education, and community programs [9].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

7. Conclusion

Domestic verbal violence is a serious public health problem with severe psychological and neurological consequences. The proposed typology encompasses its various forms, while neuroscience reveals how these patterns impair brain development and function. Nonviolent Communication (NVC) offers a promising intervention approach that targets the psychological, linguistic and neural mechanisms behind harmful communication [4].

By emphasizing observation, feeling and request, NVC promotes neural changes and enhances the abilities of emotional regulation, empathy and collaborative problem-solving. The proposed model indicates that effective intervention must involve behavioral, cognitive, emotional and neural levels to achieve lasting change. Although further research is needed, the current evidence supports the potential of NVC in reducing verbal violence and promoting healthier family communication [5,10].

Some restrictions should be noted. First of all, many of the neuro-linguistic studies cited here examine related phenomena rather than NVCS. Future research should include specialized neuroimaging studies, especially those involving individuals affected by verbal violence. Secondly, cultural factors influence the patterns of verbal violence and responses to non-verbal communication [9]. As the current research mainly focuses on the West, its cross-cultural applicability awaits further exploration. Thirdly, individual personality, attachment and genetic differences may affect vulnerability and the effectiveness of intervention. Personalized methods should be developed to explain these changes. Finally, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term neurological and psychological effects of NVC, including its influence on relationship quality, mental health and parenting [7,8].

Ultimately, ending verbal violence requires not only meeting practical needs but also establishing a communication culture that respects the humanity of each individual. Combining communication skills with insights from psychology and neuroscience can help prevent and heal trauma, transforming language into a tool for connection rather than harm.

References

[1] Teicher, M. H., Samson, J. A., Polcari, A., & McGreenery, C. E. (2006). Sticks, stones, and hurtful words: relative effects of various forms of childhood maltreatment. American Journal of Psychiatry, 163(6), 993-1000.

[2] Lieberman, M. D., Eisenberger, N. I., Crockett, M. J., Tom, S. M., Pfeifer, J. H., & Way, B. M. (2007). Putting feelings into words. Psychological Science, 18(5), 421-428.

[3] Kim, P., Evans, G. W., Angstadt, M., Ho, S. S., Sripada, C. S., Swain, J. E., Liberzon, I., & Phan, K. L. (2013). Effects of childhood poverty and chronic stress on emotion regulatory brain function in adulthood. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(46), 18442-18447.

[4] Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews, 3(2), 71-100.

[5] De Wit, L., Marsiske, M., O'Shea, D., Kessels, R. P., Kurasz, A. M., DeFeis, B., Schaefer, N., & Smith, G. E. (2020). Procedural Learning in Individuals with Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer's Dementia: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Neuropsychology Review, 31(1), 103-114.

[6] Congxing Wang, Yuqin Yang, Meng Xiong. (2021) The influence of feature integration and processing depth on metaphorical association between moral concepts and container space. Acta Psychologica Sinica, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp.139-154.

[7] Davidson, R. J., & McEwen, B. S. (2012). Social influences on neuroplasticity: stress and interventions to promote well-being. Nature Neuroscience, 15(5), 689–695.

[8] Quan Li, Yanan Song, Bin Lian, Tingyong Feng. (2019) Mindfulness training can improve 3-and 4-year-old children's attention and executive function. Acta Psychologica Sinica, 51(3), 324. https: //doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1041.2019.00324

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.NS27642

[9] Jianren Zhang, Yirran Luo, Hui Ling, Dandan Pi, Yi Gan, Shuang Peng, Gaihua Shen, Hong Lin. (2020) The work Values of Inter-generation and Career Development Based on Questionnaire. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology Vol.28, No.3, pp.601-604.
[10] Xuefeng Wang, Yan Yan. (2020) Mind-Wandering: Phenomenon, Mechanism and Implications. Psychological Development and Education, Vol．36, No．3, pp.367-377.