Proceedings of ICILLP 2025 Symposium: Property Law and Blockchain Applications in International Law and Legal Policy
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

The Influence of Family Ecosystem on Youth Violence

Sylvia Tse

American International School Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
222236@ais.edu.hk

Abstract. Youth violence is increasingly becoming a serious issue in many countries, which can have far-reaching effects on individual development, social stability, and national progress, underscoring the need for greater scholarly and policy attention. The family ecosystem, as an important perspective, can be used to analyze adolescent violent behavior. This study integrates existing literature, analyzes influencing factors, and constructs a new analytical framework to further reveal how family factors shape adolescent violence. Youth violence exhibits diverse patterns across specific domains, manifesting as both physical and psychological aggression. These behaviors can undermine mental health and peer relationships of adolescents, and elevate the long-term risk of criminal involvement. The paper finds that factors such as dysfunctional family relationships, poor family functions, exposure to domestic violence, and socioeconomic disadvantage each play a role and systematically produce effects. Cultural beliefs within the family can also affect adolescent violence. Based on these findings, five suggestions are proposed, including improving family communication, enhancing parenting education, addressing parental deviance, strengthening family cohesion, and mitigating the impacts of socioeconomic disadvantage. When relevant stakeholders value and regulate the risks of the family ecosystem, and adopt various strategies to prevent or reduce youth violence, they can help adolescents grow up more healthily.

Keywords: Family Ecosystem, Youth Violence, Violence Prevention

1. Introduction

Youth violence has become a worrying social concern that requires immediate attention from researchers, policymakers, and the community around the world. Many adolescents implicated in violence often experience harmful family environments. Against the backdrop of social transformation, understanding the family's role in shaping adolescent violent behavior has become increasingly urgent.

Youth violence is a highly sophisticated phenomenon that should be analyzed on individual, family, school, peer, and community levels. Nevertheless, the family deserves special attention because it is the first socializing institution where children acquire their knowledge of behavioral patterns, conflict resolution strategies, and social norms. The violent perpetrators involved in these attacks are predominantly from risky families, and the intervention family-programs must have the capacity to ameliorate the recidivism tendency by a significant margin [1]. Therefore, youth violence

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

issues should be explored in relation to the family. This paper seeks to examine how family ecosystems are associated with youth violent behavior through risk factors in the family and how they achieve their effects. In particular, it examines processes through which family risks contribute to adolescent violence, that is, how family issues are restructured to promote a violent behavioral response.

The paper has theoretical and practical contributions to research regarding youth violence. Theoretically, the research can assist the family systems theory in identifying the multiple ways family risks are transformed into violence in adolescence. The interaction between the family and the youth can help to study the knowledge on the process of cross-generational transmission. In practice, this study will propose quality intervention methods for family ecosystem. Findings can be used to develop a lean tool of early alert that can assist in targeting high-risk families and take proactive measures before youth violence occurs. In addition, this study can provide policy recommendations on the prevention and mitigation of adolescent violent action from a family perspective.

2. The current situation of youth violence

Violent behavior is a significant component of adolescent problem behavior. Still, unlike antisocial behavior or general problem behavior, it is characterized by physical or psychological aggression stemming from interpersonal or familial conflict [2]. Such violence often emerges as an adaptive response to family stress, reflecting adolescents' attempts to gain control or assert power when they perceive their family environment as chaotic or threatening. Consequently, they view conflict as an unavoidable survival mechanism rather than a deviation from social norms [3].

Youth violence can be understood through a multitude of factors and is analyzed in terms of the its spread and influencing characteristics, including frequency, severity, and contextual manifestations. Youth violent behaviors can be described by various patterns based on differing characteristics. Although the severity of these behaviors may range from verbal hostility to weapon-involved violence, their frequency tends to increase during mid-adolescence. The most concerning manifestations include peer disputes, severe violent crimes, and violence against intimate partners. In particular contexts where peer pressure, neighborhood risk factors, and dysfunctional families interact, juvenile violence is more likely to occur.

Unlike adults, adolescents' manifest violence in specific domains such as intimate partner aggression, dating violence, or serious violent acts like weapon use and severe assaults [4,5]. Gender and race further complicate the pattern: male adolescents consistently report higher rates of physical violence, and minority groups, particularly African American and Latino youth, experience elevated risks for intimate partner violence and serious violent crimes compared to their white peers [6]. Unlike adult violence, which is often embedded in broader structural contexts, adolescent violence is frequently interpreted as a direct outcome of pressures within the family ecosystem.

Violence in adolescence has various repercussions, and the victims are mostly the youth, because the consequences are poor mental health outcomes, poor relationships at the peer level, and the long-term effects of criminal behavior that make crimes among adolescents a phenomenon that needs to be addressed immediately by academics and policymakers. Furthermore, adolescent violence is a social issue that requires immediate solutions, and the consequences of violence on both the person and society are critical.

Due to the adverse effects of adolescent violence on people and society, there is a need to research its causes. Risk factors in various areas, such as the individual, family, school, peers, and the community, are considered when trying to understand why adolescents become violent. The

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

family is more worthy of attention, although these factors might also contribute to adolescent violence.

3. Factors influencing youth violence

Though several social spheres influence adolescent violence, the family is the most significant sphere as stated by most researchers. In general, current studies indicate that violent teenagers are likely to belong to high-risk families; in such families, the lack of relational, functional, and structural adequacy leads to the breakdown of typical socialization. The family not only provides the first socialization environment, but also serves as the primary source of emotional, moral, and behavioral guidance. The ecosystem of the family consists of numerous connective parts that will have a general influence on how adolescents develop. In the ecological system of the family, the dimensions that strongly predict whether youth will participate in violent behavior include relational quality, parental discipline and exposure to domestic violence. By breaking or rendering dysfunctional such factors, they leave the adolescents engaged in conditions that tend to promote violence.

3.1. Family relationships

The composition of the family in which the adolescent resides as well as the association of the adolescent with these individuals, can contribute to violent behavior. The family structure, types of relations with relatives, existence of argumental relations may all work. At the same time, family relationships can be divided into parent-child relationships, sibling relationships, and emotional relationships, and the characteristics of these relationships may influence adolescent violent behavior. For example, single-parent family structure, two-parent family structure, having a sister or brother, and the presence or absence of these features are determinants that lead to differences in relationship dynamics, thus creating or propagating violent tendencies [7].

3.1.1. Parent-child relationships

Parent-child relationships refer to the connection between adolescents and their parents, as well as the attributes of that relationship. The completeness, quality, and other characteristics of parent-child relationships can have an impact.

An incomplete family structure, especially the lack of parent-child relationships, may exacerbate adolescent violent behavior. While not all adolescents from single-parent or blended families display aggression, diminished parental presence tend to increase the family risks. Previous studies have emphasized the important role of family structure in shaping adolescent behavior. Adolescents from disrupted families, including those without parents or from blended households, exhibit higher levels of violent tendencies compared to peers from intact families. These adolescents often live with only one parent or experience prolonged parental absence. The broken family structures reduce the capacity for supervision and weaken emotional bonds, both of which increase vulnerability to violence. The absence of father deprives boys of non-violent role models, leaving them susceptible to gangs and deviant masculinities [8]. Moreover, the weakness of parent-child relationships between the ages of 14 and 16 has become a strong predictor of adolescent violent behavior.

The quality of parent-child relationship is often at the core of analyzing the impact of family on adolescent violent behavior. Studies indicate that aggressive parent-child conflicts normalize hostility as a legitimate means of interaction. Over time, such hostile emotions make adolescents

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

more likely to resort to violence when solving problems. Children who grow up in a strict upbringing environment are more likely to exhibit aggressive behavior in intimate relationships. This pattern of behavior might be the result of observing parental interactions firsthand and being taught to behave that way, or it might be the result of contrasting behaviors developed in school under the influence of the familial setting. Additionally, the study shows that a close father-son or father-daughter relationship is significantly linked to a lower likelihood of problem behavior in adolescents, regardless of whether the father resides with his children or not [9]. In this way, the availability and quality of parent-child relationships have a critical effect on the risk of violence in adolescence.

Parent-child conflict communication affects what adolescents do to some extent. Young people who did not communicate with their parents much or who did not feel nurtured by their parents were much more prone to the manifestation of vicious habits. Young people who said they chatted with their parents infrequently or once in a while tended to feel that relationships with their families were more adversarial. This conforms to Fosco et al, who note that a good connection between parents and the youth minimizes problem behaviors whereas a lack of communication breeds animosity and deviances. Another point that Antunes and Ahlin make in support of their statement is that a failure to communicate can transform an originally normal conflict into a successive escalation of violence where no problem-solving mechanisms are established.

Actually, the families whose communication is transparent and whose relationships are based on trust will give them a buffer even in the face of economic or structural difficulties. Communication is one of the key variables in the association that exists between structural family stressors and adolescent behavior outcomes. It is injudicious, both in the seclusion of young people in speaking evil of them, and in denying them the chance of receiving serious counsel, because in so doing the young people are exposed to their injudicious companions, and to the formation of such coping measures as are destructive. The ineffective dialogue between parents and children is always connected with the increased predisposition to violence, which also predetermines the topicality of dialogue and the establishment of emotional contact between the parents and children.

3.1.2. Sibling relationships

Sibling conflict is another causal agent of adolescent behavior. Those youth, who have registered the most problem behavior rates by the time age eighth grade occurs, also include those reporting incidences of frequent quarrelling or physical conflicts with siblings and which have no parental attention and parent-child relationships. This form of aggression contributes to the overall environment of bullying in the family, where, besides undermining the powers of the parents, youths obtain the means to seek out their own identities, which are assigned to the deviant groups they belong to. Antunes goes ahead to point out that another relationship exists at the community level between sibling mannerism and hazard that involves exposure to violence. Therefore, sibling feud directly or indirectly results in adolescent violence.

3.1.3. Emotional relationships

The other important factor is a family's emotional climate. In all human relationships, the management and appropriate control of emotions can play an important role and influence how human beings go about dealing with various circumstances. The surroundings they are immersed to and most importantly, the family has to be a good place to serve them and give them a safe area in which to test their emotions and feelings. Emotions have been found to promote youth violence as

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

well. Most specifically, Garbarino addresses the fact that it is the so-called emotional desertification that pushes little boys towards gaining power and identity through violence [10]. This implies that the children undergoing adolescent phases also should be significantly provided with emotional support in an effort to attain higher levels of emotional intelligence. This will enable them to sufficiently socialize with other teenagers and manage their reactions to avoid violent behavior as much as possible.

Family relations in their entirety have been found to have a significant impact on problem behaviors among adolescents. Father-youth connectedness and sibling conflict indicate how youth problem behaviors change over time, but these influences are independent of the degree of parental monitoring, depending on whether the parent and adolescent have an above-average or below-average relationship, indicating that relationship quality has protective or risk-enhancing moderating effects that may be independent. Inconsistent supervision and weak parent-child attachments increase vulnerability to violence between ages 14 and 16, underscoring the dynamic nature of family influence during adolescence. Families characterized by warmth and closeness foster resilience, while those marked by hostility or emotional distance exacerbate risks for aggression. Therefore, the presence and quality of family relationships are core factors influencing whether adolescents choose to avoid violence.

3.2. Family functions

The family often serves multiple functions for adolescents, such as discipline, management, supervision, and education. Family dysfunction, particularly failures in management, discipline, and cohesion, has been strongly correlated with adolescent violence.

3.2.1. Disciplinary function

Failure in the family's disciplinary function can directly impact adolescent violent behavior. Volent youth often come from families marked by lax discipline and low cohesion, a pattern that distinguishes them even from nonviolent delinquents, highlighting the specific role of disciplinary dysfunction in violence development rather than general delinquency [11]. The poor family management at ages 14 and 16 significantly predicts violence, even when such factors are insignificant at age 10. Inadequate monitoring not only reduces parental control but also facilitates adolescents' engagement with antisocial peers, compounding risks for violence. Consequently, family discipline is not peripheral but central functions that can either buffer or exacerbate violent tendencies.

3.2.2. Parenting function

The family is a key agent in adolescent education, and the failure of its parenting function can directly impact adolescent violent behavior. Indulgent, permissive, or abusive family environments can increase the likelihood of violent behavior. Adolescents who have experienced frequent parental neglect or harsh punishment were more prone to aggression than those who experienced structured but supportive guidance. Violent youths often come from families with lax discipline and low cohesion, differentiating them from nonviolent peers. Both indulgence and abuse, as extreme parenting styles, can fuel aggression in adolescents. Indulgence parenting fosters entitlement and weakens resilience, while abuse parenting normalizes violence as a form of communication. In both cases, these parenting approaches increase the risk of aggression, thereby normalizing violent

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

behavior. This confirms the warning that inconsistent or harmful rearing styles distort the social learning processes central to adolescent development.

3.3. Domestic violence

Perhaps the direct influence of family ecosystems on adolescent violence arises from exposure to domestic violence. Whether it is the parents' violent behavior or the violence adolescents experience during childhood, both can exacerbate adolescent violent behavior and lead to the intergenerational transmission of domestic violence.

According to social learning theory, children internalize violence as an acceptable relational strategy when they repeatedly observe it within their households. Between one-third and one-half of adult men who engage in intimate partner violence had been exposed to domestic violence as children [12]. Harsh punishment and aggressive parent-child interactions not only predict externalizing problems in childhood but also increase risks for dating violence in adolescence. Exposure to parental violence thus serves as both a model and a trigger for intergenerational cycles of aggression, perpetuating violent behavior across life stages.

3.3.1. Parental deviance

Based on existing research, there appears to be a correlation between parents' deviant behaviors, including alcoholism, extramarital affairs, gambling, or drug use, and violent tendencies in adolescents [13]. Research suggests that adolescents with parents engaging in alcoholism or multiple problematic behaviors frequently report higher levels of aggression. This finding is consistent with previous research concluding that children exposed to domestic violence and parental deviance were significantly more likely to perpetrate intimate partner violence later in life. Adolescents from families where both parents engaged in deviant behaviors exhibited the strongest associations with aggression, suggesting that intergenerational transmission operates not only through exposure to violence but also through normalization of deviance. The findings echo the emphasis on parental endorsement of violence as a predictor of future aggression. Collectively, these patterns suggest that parental deviance disrupts moral socialization, thereby creating a cycle of normalized aggression that spans generations.

3.3.2. Childhood experiences of violence

In addition, childhood experiences of violence, such as abuse, neglect, and physical and sexual violence, are also significant factors in the intergenerational transmission of domestic violence. Aggressive interactions (such as harsh punishment and parent-child conflict) and childhood abuse experiences may exacerbate the intergenerational transmission of violence within the family ecosystem. Childhood abuse experiences, particularly physical abuse, establish violence as a learned method of conflict resolution among adolescents. It is based on the production of intergenerational transmission, which is made possible by certain processes, namely, by the overwhelming exploitation of the so odd role played by fathers in the gender process of socialization [14]. In addition, experience of childhood abuse was proven to elevate dating violence among adolescents by 33.1, which in turn affects violent cycles in adulthood through mediating factors like depression and substance abuse, which were experienced by and shown in all ethnic communities across American family environments across the country.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

3.4. Family socioeconomic status

The socioeconomic deprivation of a family is a strong prognosticators of violence in adolescence. Hardship experiences, expounded through communication disintegration, inferior control, and amplified argumentative parental concerns, providing advantageous environments to the younger people, are likely to be entrenched in economically unsound families, leading to rooted violence. Research has shown that family income is also important in the antecedent of how many youths will become violent, and that poor youths will always have higher preceding rates of violence. Economic hardship has several effects on the functioning of the family, which reduce the role of parents as informal guardians and put adolescents at increased risk of exposure to harm and violent role modeling within the community.

3.5. Cultural beliefs

It is taught by such religions as a result of Gorman-Smith about ethnic disparities, comprising the bond that we need to think about the collapses of families that are on the brink of the social and cultural calculation at a higher level. Specifically, aspects of family culture relate to adolescent violence. It is impossible to refuse the role played by spirituality and family culture that may produce violence. Cultural beliefs of the family may also be lived in the contrary direction: in the case of the Latino youth, they may cause cultural conflict and violence, in the case of the African American adolescents, they may become protective facets to produce a cohesive behavior. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the family in a cultural context.

3.6. Systemic influence of family

The family is a complex structure, and has features that collectively determine how the teenager will behave. All these assist the family to encourage or escalate the adolescent's violent behavior. Namely, they have their own ways of aggression toward family relations, parental warmth, parental deviance, and parent-child communication, which are similar. In some cases, supervision is reduced in broken or blended families. Careless, spoiling, or harsh parenting perverts the rules of development. Violence is an intergenerational transmission of parental deviance, and a lack of communication destroys protection mechanisms. Domestic violence does not appear in solitude but in accumulation. Indicatively, Herrenkohl found that teenagers in foster families where the parents were alcoholics and those whose experience was poor or minimal were the most vulnerable to risk factors aggravation .

At a system level, structural factors (poverty and single parenthood) may interact with relational factors (parent-child relationships, sibling conflict, and emotional support) to influence behavioral trajectories. These risks are increased by dysfunctional management practices within the family, and intergenerational patterns of aggression are formed by exposure to domestic violence. In addition, these reactions are filtered through the thinking of the culture, producing different outcomes in all the racial and ethnic groups.

Simultaneously, the family can also be a contributor to violence at other stages of adolescence that can turn into different forms of violence. This influence may be developed in several steps and in collaboration with other parties.

Collectively, the results affirm that adolescent violence cannot be dissociated from family dynamics. The family is both the source of danger and the potential site of prevention. Thus, any approach to preventing adolescent violence should focus on the family.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

4. Suggestions

To prevent and control adolescent violence, at least the family should be addressed first. To improve the family ecosystem, multiple entities must adopt diverse strategies. The above analysis can provide us with valuable insights. Based on the above conclusions, several practices, policies, and future research directions can be proposed.

Firstly, improving family communication is crucial. Schools and community organizations should offer workshops that teach families effective communication skills, including active listening, conflict resolution, and emotional expression. By strengthening the communication mechanism within the family, these measures can build a protective barrier against internal risk factors, thereby reducing the possibility of youth violence.

Another key aspect is enhancing family function, particularly by promoting parenting education programs. It is suggested to initiate relevant activities through the community to provide parents with systematic training on positive discipline, how to balance authority and care, as well as emotional support. This will guide and help the families to have a healthier system followed through for the development of their teenagers.

Addressing parental deviance is also essential. Policies should be developed to extend support to parents facing substance abuse issues or other forms of deviant behavior, such as gambling. It is equally important to incorporate family therapy into rehabilitation programs to prevent the transmission of violent norms and dysfunctional behaviors across generations.

Strengthening family cohesion is critical. Family interventions should aim to improve cohesion, even in families experiencing divorce or separation. Programs that encourage joint and co-parenting relationships can reduce risks associated with broken family structures, providing a more stable environment for adolescents.

Finally, interventions should be implemented to prevent the negative impact of low family socioeconomic status on adolescents. Adolescents should have access to additional support outside the family. Targeted programs that provide leadership development, mentorship, counseling, and structured extracurricular activities can help youth compensate for a lack of family support. By providing them with the necessary resources to overcome socioeconomic challenges, these programs can guide them toward feasible solutions, reducing their tendency to resort to violence.

5. Conclusion

This research focuses on key factors within the family ecosystem that influence youth violence, including dysfunctional relationships, poor family functions, exposure to domestic violence, low family socioeconomic status, and specific cultural beliefs. Through the accumulation of these risk factors, the influence of the family does not operate through a single mechanism. Adolescents exposed to multiple family risk factors are more likely to engage in violent behaviors. Paying attention to the dynamic changes in the family ecosystem can help prevent and control youth violence.

The study highlights important recommendations that can help families, such as improving their family communication patterns, receiving training in effective parenting, addressing negative parental behavioral patterns, strengthening family connections, alleviating family financial burdens. The paper argues that the families and external stakeholders should recognize the influence of the family ecosystem on adolescent violence, and jointly implement various strategies to improve the terrible family ecosystem.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.LD27618

This paper contributes to research on adolescent violence by constructing a framework for analyzing family ecosystem, and providing a preliminary explanation of how multiple factors within the family jointly influence youth violence. However, several limitations remain. First, it does not propose and test specific hypotheses and variables with empirical data. Future research can clarify the causal relationship between the family ecosystem and youth violence. Second, additional heterogeneous family samples can be expanded through further assessment studies. Lastly, other perspectives, either representatives of the subjects (such as adolescents, school, community) or characteristics of the new world (such as the digital age), can be included in future research to broaden current conclusions.

References

[1] Herrenkohl, T.I., Maguin, E., Hill, K.G., Hawkins, J.D., Abbott, R.D., and Catalano, R.F. (2000) Developmental Risk Factors for Youth Violence. Journal of Adolescent Health, 26, 176-186.
[2] Antunes, M. J.L., and Ahlin, E.M. (2017) Youth Exposure to Violence in the Community: Towards a Theoretical Framework for Explaining Risk and Protective Factors. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 34, 166–177.
[3] Exner-Cortens, D., Eckenrode, J., and Rothman, E. (2013) Longitudinal Associations Between Teen Dating Violence Victimization and Adverse Health Outcomes. Pediatrics, 131, 71-78.
[4] Robin, A.L., and Foster, S.L. (2002) Negotiating Parent-Adolescent Conflict: A Behavioral-Family Systems Approach. Guilford Press.
[5] Makin-Byrd, K., Bierman, K.L., and Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. (2013) Individual and Family Predictors of the Perpetration of Dating Violence and Victimization in Late Adolescence. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 42, 536-550.
[6] Blum, R.W., Beuhring, T., Shew, M.L., Bearinger, L.H., Sieving, R.E., and Resnick, M.D. (2000) The Effects of Race/Ethnicity, Income, and Family Structure on Adolescent Risk Behaviors. American Journal of Public Health, 90, 1879-1886.
[7] Chavda, K., and Nisarga, V. (2023) Single Parenting: Impact on Child's Development. Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 19, 14-20.
[8] Schwartz, J. The Effect of Father Absence and Father Alternatives on Female and Male Rates of Violence. [D]. The Pennsylvania State University, 2003.
[9] Fosco, G.M., Stormshak, E.A., Dishion, T.J., and Winter, C.E. (2012) Family Relationships and Parental Monitoring During Middle School as Predictors of Early Adolescent Problem Behavior. Journal of Clinical Child&Adolescent Psychology, 41, 202-213.
[10] Garbarino, J. (2001) Lost Boys: Why Our Sons Turn Violent and How We Can Save Them. Smith College Studies in Social Work, 71, 167-181.
[11] Gorman-Smith, D., Tolan, P.H., Zelli, A., and Huesmann, L.R. (1996) The Relation of Family Functioning to Violence among Inner-City Minority Youths. Journal of Family Psychology, 10, 115-129.
[12] Mbilinyi, L.F., Neighbors, C., Walker, D.D., Roffman, R.A., Zegree, J., and Edleson, J. (2012) Exposure to Domestic Violence and Childhood Emotional Abuse: Childhood Domestic Violence Exposure among a Community Sample of Adult Perpetrators: What Mediates the Connection?.Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment&Trauma, 21, 171-189.
[13] Saladino, V., Mosca, O., Petruccelli, F., Hoelzlhammer, L., Lauriola, M., Verrastro, V., and Cabras, C. (2021) The Vicious Cycle: Problematic Family Relations, Substance Abuse, and Crime in Adolescence: A Narrative Review. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 673954.
[14] Liu, L., Li, S., Zheng, Y., and Wang, M. (2021) Intergenerational Transmission of Anxiety in Chinese Migrant Families: The Mediating Role of Parents' Perceptions of Coparenting. Journal of Affective Disorders, 280, 287–294.